arXiv:1004.1753v1 [math.DG] 11 Apr 2010

THE REFINED ANALYTIC TORSION AND A WELL-POSED BOUNDARY CONDITION FOR THE ODD SIGNATURE OPERATOR

RUNG-TZUNG HUANG AND YOONWEON LEE

Abstract. In this paper we discuss the refined analytic torsion on an odd dimensional compact oriented Riemannian manifold with boundary. For this purpose we introduce two boundary conditions which are complementary to each other and fit to the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$. We show that they are well-posed boundary conditions for $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense of Seeley and show that the zeta-determinants for $\mathcal{B}^2$ and eta-invariants for $\mathcal{B}$ subject to these boundary conditions are well defined by using the method of the asymptotic expansions of the traces of the heat kernels. We use these facts to define the refined analytic torsion on a compact manifold with boundary and show that it is invariant on the change of metrics in the interior of the manifold. We finally describe the refined analytic torsion under these boundary conditions as an element of the determinant line.

1. Introduction

The refined combinatorial torsion was introduced by V. Turaev ([28], [29]) and further developed by M. Farber and V. Turaev ([14], [15]), which is defined by the representation of the fundamental group to $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, the Euler structure and the cohomology orientation. As an analytic analog of the refined combinatorial torsion, M. Braverman and T. Kappeler introduced the refined analytic torsion on an odd dimensional closed Riemannian manifold ([5], [6]), which is an element of the determinant line $\operatorname{Det}(H^{\bullet}(M, E))$ and defined by using the graded zeta-determinant of the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$. Even though these two objects do not coincide exactly, they are closely related. In this paper we are going to discuss the refined analytic torsion on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. For this purpose we introduce two boundary conditions which are complementary to each other and show that the refined analytic torsion is well defined under these boundary conditions.

Let (M, g^M) be a compact oriented Riemannian manifold with boundary Y and $E \to M$ be a complex flat vector bundle associated to the representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Throughout this paper we assume that the metric g is a product one on a collar neighborhood of Y. We choose a flat connection ∇ on E and extend it to a de Rham operator acting on E-valued differential forms $\Omega^{\bullet}(M, E)$, which we denote by ∇ again. Using ∇ and the chirality operator Γ (cf. (2.1)), we define the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{B} = \nabla\Gamma + \Gamma\nabla$, which is a Dirac type operator. It is a well-known fact that a Dirac type operator does not admit a local elliptic boundary condition ([17]), which implies that we need to find a well-posed boundary condition for $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense of R. Seeley ([18], [26]). The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer (APS) boundary condition is a well-posed boundary condition for a Dirac type operator, but simple computation shows

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58J52; Secondary: 58J28, 58J50.

Key words and phrases. refined analytic torsion, zeta-determinant, eta-invariant, odd signature operator, well-posed boundary condition.

The second author was supported by the KRF Grant funded by KRF-2008-313-C00072.

that the APS boundary condition does not fit to the de Rham operator nor the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$ and hence it is not a proper one for the refined analytic torsion.

In this paper we introduce new boundary conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$, which are complementary to each other and defined by using the Hodge decomposition and the symplectic structure of $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y)$ under some assumptions (see Subsection 2.5). We show that they are well-posed boundary conditions for $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense of R. Seeley ([18], [26]). It is not difficult to see that these boundary conditions fit to the de Rham operator and the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$ (Corollary 2.13) and induce the following cochain complex (see (4.2))

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(M,E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{1,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(M,E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{m-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(M,E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{m,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(M,E) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The boundary conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$, $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ and the realizations $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ satisfy the relations $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}\Gamma^Y = \Gamma^Y \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\Gamma = \Gamma \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ ((2.21), (2.24)).

We show that the realizations $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ have their spectra in an arbitrarily small sector containing the real axis except only finitely many ones (Theorem 2.21), which shows that we can choose Agmon angles for the zeta and eta functions arbitrarily close to any given angle ϕ with $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < 0$. We use the method of the asymptotic expansions of the trace of heat kernels to show that the zeta-determinant of $\mathcal{B}^2$ and the eta invariant of $\mathcal{B}$ subject to these boundary conditions are well-defined, from which we define the graded determinant of $\mathcal{B}$ acting on even forms under the boundary condition $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ or $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ and finally define the refined analytic torsion for the complex (1.1) on a compact oriented manifold with boundary.

The boundary conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ are quite comparable with the absolute and relative boundary conditions in the following sense. If ∇ is a Hermitian connection, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ are self-adjoint operators and for each $0 \leq q \leq m$, $\ker \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} = H^q(M, Y; \rho)$ and $\ker \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}} = H^q(M; \rho)$ (Lemma 2.11). Hence, $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ might be good candidates for an ideal boundary condition of the refined analytic torsion (cf. [30]).

When M is a closed manifold and $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to O(n)$ is an orthogonal representation, the modulus part of the refined analytic torsion is equal to the Ray-Singer analytic torsion ([25]) and hence it is equal to the Reidemeister torsion when ∇ is acyclic ([12], [23]). In this point of view, it may be an interesting problem to compare the modulus of the refined analytic torsion subject to the boundary condition $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ with the Reidemeister torsion when an orthogonal representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to O(n)$ is given on a compact oriented manifold M with boundary, which will be discussed elsewhere.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the boundary conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ for $\mathcal{B}$ and show that they are well posed for $\mathcal{B}$. In Section 3 we show that the zeta functions associated to $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ acting on q-forms and the eta functions associated to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ acting on even forms subject to $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ are regular at $s = 0$ by computing the asymptotic expansions of the traces of the heat kernels. In Section 4 we define the graded determinant of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ and define the refined analytic torsion under the boundary condition $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ when the cochain complex (1.1) is acyclic and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}/\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ is invertible. We then show that the refined analytic torsion is invariant on the change of metrics in the interior of the manifold. In Section 5 we generally describe the refined analytic torsion as an element of the determinant line.

As a related work, B. Vertman has already studied the refined analytic torsion on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ([30], [31]) but our approach is completely different from what he presented. Burghelea and Haller have studied the complex-valued analytic torsion associated to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on a flat vector bundle ([9], [10]), which we call the Burghelea-Haller analytic torsion. Cappell and Miller used non-self-adjoint Laplace operator to define another complex valued analytic torsion and proved the extension of the Cheeger-Müller theorem ([11]), which we call the Cappell-Miller analytic torsion. Inspired by the result of B. Vertman, G. Su ([27]) and the first author ([20]) studied the Burghelea-Haller analytic torsion and the Cappell-Miller analytic torsion, respectively, on a compact oriented Riemannian manifolds with boundary. We think the boundary conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ may also work to define the Burghelea-Haller and Cappell-Miller analytic torsions on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold with boundary, which will be discussed elsewhere.

2. THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ AND $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ FOR THE ODD SIGNATURE OPERATOR $\mathcal{B}$

We begin this section by describing the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$ on a compact manifold with boundary when the product metric is given near the boundary. And then, we discuss the boundary conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ for the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$.

2.1. **The odd signature operator on a manifold with boundary.** Let (M, g^M) be a compact oriented odd dimensional Riemannian manifold with boundary Y, where g^M is assumed to be a product metric near the boundary Y. We denote the dimension of M by $m = 2r - 1$. Suppose that $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a representation and $E = \widehat{M} \times_\rho \mathbb{C}^n$ is the associated flat vector bundle, where $\widehat{M}$ is a universal covering space of M. We choose a flat connection ∇ and extend it to the de Rham operator

$$\nabla : \Omega^\bullet(M, E) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(M, E).$$

Using the Hodge star operator $*_M$, we define the involution $\Gamma = \Gamma(g^M) : \Omega^\bullet(M, E) \to \Omega^{m-\bullet}(M, E)$ by

$$\Gamma\omega := i^r(-1)^{\frac{q(q+1)}{2}} *_M \omega, \qquad \omega \in \Omega^q(M, E), \tag{2.1}$$

where r is given as above by $r = \frac{m+1}{2}$. It is straightforward to see that $\Gamma^2 = Id$. We next define the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathcal{B} \;=\; \mathcal{B}(\nabla, g^M) \;:=\; \Gamma\nabla \;+\; \nabla\Gamma : \Omega^\bullet(M, E) \;\longrightarrow\; \Omega^\bullet(M, E). \tag{2.2}$$

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is an elliptic differential operator of order 1. Let N be a collar neighborhood of Y which is isometric to $[0, 1) \times Y$. We have a natural isomorphism

$$\Psi : \Omega^p(N, E|_N) \to C^\infty([0, 1), \Omega^p(Y, E|_Y) \oplus \Omega^{p-1}(Y, E|_Y)), \tag{2.3}$$

defined by $\Psi(\omega_1 + du \wedge \omega_2) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$, where u is the coordinate normal to the boundary Y on N. Using the product structure we can induce a flat connection $\nabla^Y : \Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(Y, E|_Y)$ from ∇ and a Hodge star operator $*_Y : \Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) \to \Omega^{m-1-\bullet}(Y, E|_Y)$ from $*_M$. We define two maps β, Γ^Y by

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta : \Omega^p(Y, E|_Y) \to \Omega^p(Y, E|_Y), \quad \beta(\omega) = (-1)^p\omega \\ &\Gamma^Y : \Omega^p(Y, E|_Y) \to \Omega^{m-1-p}(Y, E|_Y), \quad \Gamma^Y(\omega) = i^{r-1}(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} *_Y \omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

It is straightforward that

$$\beta^2 = Id, \qquad \Gamma^Y\Gamma^Y = Id. \tag{2.5}$$

Then simple computation shows that

$$\Gamma = i\beta\Gamma^Y \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \nabla = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \nabla_{\partial u} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \nabla^Y, \tag{2.6}$$

and we have, under the isomorphism (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma\nabla &= -i\beta\Gamma^{Y}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\nabla_{\partial u}+\begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\nabla^{Y}\right\},\\ \nabla\Gamma &= -i\beta\Gamma^{Y}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\nabla_{\partial u}+\begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\Gamma^{Y}\nabla^{Y}\Gamma^{Y}\right\}. \qquad (2.7)\end{aligned}$$

Hence the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$ is expressed by

$$\mathcal{B} = -i\beta\Gamma^{Y}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\nabla_{\partial u}+\begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\left(\nabla^{Y}+\Gamma^{Y}\nabla^{Y}\Gamma^{Y}\right)\right\}. \qquad (2.8)$$

The equations (2.4) and (2.5) show that

$$\left(-i\beta\Gamma^{Y}\right)\left(-i\beta\Gamma^{Y}\right) = -Id, \quad \left(-i\beta\Gamma^{Y}\right)\left(\nabla^{Y}+\Gamma^{Y}\nabla^{Y}\Gamma^{Y}\right) = -\left(\nabla^{Y}+\Gamma^{Y}\nabla^{Y}\Gamma^{Y}\right)\left(-i\beta\Gamma^{Y}\right). \qquad (2.9)$$

Since $\nabla_{\partial u}\nabla^{Y} = \nabla^{Y}\nabla_{\partial u}$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}^{2} = -\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\nabla_{\partial u}^{2}+\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\left(\nabla^{Y}+\Gamma^{Y}\nabla^{Y}\Gamma^{Y}\right)^{2} = \left(-\nabla_{\partial u}^{2}+\mathcal{B}_{Y}^{2}\right)\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2.10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{Y} = \Gamma^{Y}\nabla^{Y}+\nabla^{Y}\Gamma^{Y}.$$

2.2. **Green formula for the odd signature operator.**

We begin this subsection with the following definition.

Definition 2.1. *For $\phi \in \Omega^{q}(M,E)$ we write ϕ, on a collar neighborhood $[0,1)\times Y$, by*

$$\phi = \phi_{\tan} + du\wedge\phi_{\mathrm{nor}},$$

where $\phi_{\tan}\in C^{\infty}([0,1),\Omega^{q}(Y,E|_{Y}))$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{nor}}\in C^{\infty}([0,1),\Omega^{q-1}(Y,E|_{Y}))$.

We now choose a fiber metric h^{E} for the flat bundle E so that together with the Riemannian metric g^{M} we define an L^{2}-inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{M}$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(M,E)$. We choose the dual connection ∇' with respect to h^{E} satisfying the following property. For ϕ, $\psi\in C^{\infty}(M,E)$,

$$d(h^{E}(\phi,\psi)) = h^{E}(\nabla\phi,\psi)+h^{E}(\phi,\nabla'\psi).$$

We then extend ∇' to the de Rham operator $\nabla' : \Omega^{\bullet}(M,E)\to\Omega^{\bullet+1}(M,E)$. The following lemma shows that the formal adjoint of ∇ is $\Gamma\nabla'\Gamma$ and the formal adjoint of $\mathcal{B}$ is $\mathcal{B}' := \Gamma\nabla'+\nabla'\Gamma$. When $\nabla=\nabla'$, we call ∇ a Hermitian connection with respect to the metric h^{E}. We also define an L^{2}-inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{Y}$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y,E|_{Y})$ using g^{Y}, $*_{Y}$ and $h^{E}|_{Y}$, where g^{Y}, $*_{Y}$ are the metric and Hodge star operator induced from g^{M} and $*_{M}$.

Lemma 2.2. *(1) For $\phi\in\Omega^{q}(M,E)$, $\psi\in\Omega^{m-q}(M,\ E)$, $\langle\Gamma\phi,\ \psi\rangle_{M} = \langle\phi,\ \Gamma\psi\rangle_{M}$.*
(2) For $\phi\in\Omega^{q}(M,E)$, $\psi\in\Omega^{q+1}(M,E)$,

$$\langle\nabla\phi,\ \psi\rangle_{M} \ = \ \langle\phi,\ \Gamma\nabla'\Gamma\psi\rangle_{M} \ + \ \langle\phi_{\tan}|_{Y},\ \psi_{\mathrm{nor}}|_{Y}\rangle_{Y}.$$

Proof. The first assertion is straightforward. For the second statement we may assume that

$$\phi = \omega \otimes \mathfrak{a}, \quad \psi = \xi \otimes \mathfrak{b},$$

where $\omega \in \Omega^q(M)$, $\xi \in \Omega^{q+1}(M)$, and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in C^\infty(M, E)$. Then simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \phi, \psi \rangle_M &= \int_M d\left(h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) \omega \wedge *_M \xi\right) - \int_M h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \nabla' \mathfrak{b}) \wedge \omega \wedge *_M \xi - (-1)^q \int_M h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) \omega \wedge d *_M \xi \\ &= \int_{\partial M} h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) \omega \wedge *_M \xi - \int_M h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \nabla' \mathfrak{b}) \wedge \omega \wedge *_M \xi + \int_M h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) \omega \wedge *_M d^* \xi. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we note that $\Gamma \psi = \Gamma \xi \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ and $\nabla' \Gamma \psi = d\Gamma \xi \otimes \mathfrak{b} + (-1)^q \Gamma \xi \wedge \nabla' \mathfrak{b}$, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \nabla' \Gamma \psi &= \Gamma d \Gamma \xi \otimes \mathfrak{b} + (-1)^q \Gamma(\Gamma \xi \wedge \nabla' \mathfrak{b}) \\ &= d^* \xi \otimes \mathfrak{b} - *_M((*_M \xi) \wedge \nabla' \mathfrak{b}). \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation we have

$$\langle \phi, \Gamma \nabla' \Gamma \psi \rangle_M = \int_M h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) \omega \wedge *_M d^* \xi - \int_M h^E(\mathfrak{a}, \nabla' \mathfrak{b}) \wedge \omega \wedge *_M \xi,$$

which proves the second statement. □

We denote by ∇'^Y the induced connection on Y from ∇' and $\mathcal{B}'_Y := \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y + \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y$. Then the adjoint of ∇^Y is $\nabla^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y$ and the adjoint of $\mathcal{B}_Y$ is $\mathcal{B}'_Y$, and hence $\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{B}_Y + \mathcal{B}'_Y)$ is a self-adjoint operator and $\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{B}_Y - \mathcal{B}'_Y)$ is an operator of order 0. We express $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathcal{B} = -i\beta \Gamma^Y \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \nabla_{\partial u} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^Y (\mathcal{B}_Y + \mathcal{B}'_Y) + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^Y (\mathcal{B}_Y - \mathcal{B}'_Y) \right\}, \tag{2.11}$$

which shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a Dirac type operator (see (2.33) below). The following corollary gives the Green formula for the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$.

Corollary 2.3. *(1) For $\phi \in \Omega^q(M, E)$, $\psi \in \Omega^{m-q-1}(M, E)$,*

$$\langle \Gamma \nabla \phi, \psi \rangle_M = \langle \phi, \Gamma \nabla' \psi \rangle_M + \langle \phi_{\tan}|_Y, i\beta \Gamma^Y \psi_{\tan}|_Y \rangle_Y.$$

(2) For $\phi \in \Omega^q(M, E)$, $\psi \in \Omega^{m-q+1}(M, E)$,

$$\langle \nabla \Gamma \phi, \psi \rangle_M = \langle \phi, \nabla' \Gamma \psi \rangle_M + \langle \phi_{\mathrm{nor}}|_Y, i\beta \Gamma^Y \psi_{\mathrm{nor}}|_Y \rangle_Y.$$

(3) For $\phi, \psi \in \Omega^{\mathrm{even}}(M, E)$ or $\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(M, E)$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B} \phi, \psi \rangle_M = \langle \phi, \mathcal{B}' \psi \rangle_M + \langle \phi_{\tan}|_Y, i\beta \Gamma^Y (\psi_{\tan}|_Y) \rangle_Y + \langle \phi_{\mathrm{nor}}|_Y, i\beta \Gamma^Y (\psi_{\mathrm{nor}}|_Y) \rangle_Y.$$

We next discuss a simple application of Corollary 2.3. Let ∇ be a Hermitian connection, *i.e.* $\nabla = \nabla'$. We define $\mathcal{H}^q(Y, E|_Y)$ and $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y, E|_Y)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}^q(Y, E|_Y) := \ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y), \qquad \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) := \oplus_{q=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{H}^q(Y, E|_Y). \tag{2.12}$$

Then for each q, $\mathcal{H}^q(Y, E|_Y)$ is a finite dimensional vector space. If $\nabla \phi = \Gamma \nabla \Gamma \phi = 0$ for $\phi \in \Omega^\bullet(M, E)$, simple computation shows that ϕ is expressed, near the boundary Y, by

$$\phi = \nabla^Y \phi_{\tan} + \phi_{\tan,h} + du \wedge (\Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \phi_{\mathrm{nor}} + \phi_{\mathrm{nor},h}), \qquad \phi_{\tan,h}, \ \phi_{\mathrm{nor},h} \in \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y, E|_Y). \tag{2.13}$$

We denote $\mathcal{K}$ by

$$\mathcal{K} := \{\phi_{\tan,h} \in \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \mid \nabla\phi = \Gamma\nabla\Gamma\phi = 0\}, \tag{2.14}$$

where ϕ has the form (2.13). The first assertion in Corollary 2.3 shows that $\mathcal{K}$ is perpendicular to $\Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}$. If ϕ satisfies $\nabla\phi = \Gamma\nabla\Gamma\phi = 0$, so is $\Gamma\phi$. Hence

$$\Gamma^Y\mathcal{K} = \{\phi_{\mathrm{nor},h} \in \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \mid \nabla\phi = \Gamma\nabla\Gamma\phi = 0\}, \tag{2.15}$$

where ϕ has the form (2.13). We then have the following lemma (cf. Corollary 8.4 in [21]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let ∇ be a Hermitian connection and $\mathcal{K}$ be defined by (2.14). Then*

$$\mathcal{K} \oplus \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y).$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y),\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_Y,\ -i\beta\Gamma^Y)$ is a symplectic vector space with Lagrangian subspaces $\mathcal{K}$ and $\Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}(Y, E|_Y)$ is a symplectic vector space with Lagrangian subspaces $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}(Y, E|_Y) \cap \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}(Y, E|_Y) \cap \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{K} \oplus \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y)$, we have $\dim\mathcal{K} \leq \frac{1}{2}\dim\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y)$. For the opposite direction of the inequality we are going to use the scattering theory for Dirac operators ([19], [24]). Let $M_\infty := M \cup_Y ((-\infty, 0] \times Y)$. We extend E, ∇, $\mathcal{B}$ to M_∞ canonically, which we denote by E_∞, ∇_∞, $\mathcal{B}_\infty$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\lim}$ be the space of the limiting values of extended L^2-solutions of $\mathcal{B}_\infty$. We refer to [1], [3], [19], [24] for the definitions of the limiting values and extended L^2-solutions of $\mathcal{B}_\infty$. Obviously, $\mathcal{L}_{\lim} \subset \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} \\ \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}$. The scattering theory tells that $2 \cdot \dim\mathcal{L}_{\lim}$ is equal to the dimension of the kernel of the tangential operator of $\mathcal{B}$ (cf. [19], [24]). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\dim\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \\ \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2}\dim\ker\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}(\nabla^Y + \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y) \\ &= \dim\mathcal{L}_{\lim} \leq \dim\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} \\ \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{2}\dim\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \\ \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. □

2.3. Even dimensionality of $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}(Y, E|_Y)$.

For each $0 \leq q \leq 2r - 2$ we generally define

$$\mathcal{H}^q(Y, E|_Y) := \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y).$$

Using the fact $(\nabla^Y)^* = \Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y$, we can decompose $\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)$ orthogonally into

$$\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y) = \big(\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)\big) \oplus \big(\operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)\big) \oplus \mathcal{H}^q(Y, E|_Y).$$

In this subsection we are going to show that $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}(Y, E|_Y)$ is an even dimensional vector space. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 2.5. *Let $\phi = \phi_1 + du \wedge \phi_2 \in \Omega^q(M, E)$ be an E-valued q-form expressed on a collar neighborhood of Y. Then :*
(1) ϕ satisfies the absolute boundary condition if $\phi_2|_Y = 0$ and $(\nabla_{\partial u}\phi_1)|_Y = 0$.
(2) ϕ satisfies the relative boundary condition if $\phi_1|_Y = 0$ and $(\nabla_{\partial u}\phi_2)|_Y = 0$.

We denote by $\Omega^{\bullet}_{abs}(M,E)$, $\Omega^{\bullet}_{rel}(M,E)$ the spaces of E-valued differential forms satisfying the absolute and relative boundary conditions, respectively. We also denote by

$$\mathcal{H}^q_{abs}(M,E) = \ker\nabla \cap \ker\Gamma\nabla'\Gamma \cap \Omega^q_{abs}(M,E), \qquad \mathcal{H}^q_{rel}(M,E) = \ker\nabla \cap \ker\Gamma\nabla'\Gamma \cap \Omega^q_{rel}(M,E).$$

We next define the twisted chain complex as follows. Let $\widehat{M} \to M$ be a universal covering space and $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ be a representation. Let K, L be smooth triangulations of M, Y, respectively, and $\widehat{K}$, $\widehat{L}$ be the liftings of K, L to $\widehat{M}$. For $0 \leq q \leq 2r-1$ we define

$$C_q(K,\rho) = C_q(\widehat{K}) \otimes_\rho \mathbb{C}^n, \qquad C_q(K,L,\rho) = C_q(\widehat{K},\widehat{L}) \otimes_\rho \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We define the corresponding cochain complex by

$$C^q(K,\rho) = Hom_\rho(C_q(\widehat{K}),\mathbb{C}^n), \qquad C^q(K,L,\rho) = Hom_\rho(C_q(\widehat{K},\widehat{L}),\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Then de Rham map, denoted by Φ, induces the following isomorphisms (cf. Theorem 3.1, p. 787 in [8]).

$$\begin{gathered}\Phi_q : \mathcal{H}^q_{abs}(M,E) \to H^q(M,\rho), \qquad \Phi_q : \mathcal{H}^q_{rel}(M,E) \to H^q(M,Y,\rho), \\ \Phi_{Y,q} : \mathcal{H}^q(Y,E|_Y) \to H^q(Y,\rho) = H^q\left(Hom_\rho(C_*(\widehat{L}),\mathbb{C}^n\right).\end{gathered}$$

Moreover, by the Poincaré duality denoted by Ψ, we have the following isomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_q : H^q(M,Y,\rho) \to H_{m-q}(M,\rho), &\qquad \Psi_q : H^q(M,\rho) \to H_{m-q}(M,Y,\rho), \\ \Psi_{Y,q} : H^q(Y,\rho) \to H_{m-1-q}(Y,\rho) &\quad = \quad H_{m-1-q}\left(C_*(\widehat{L}) \otimes_\rho \mathbb{C}^n\right).\end{aligned}$$

For $q = r-1 = \frac{1}{2}\dim Y$ we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{r-1}(M,\rho) \arrow[r, "j^*"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{r-1}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & H^{r-1}(Y,\rho) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\delta}"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{Y,r-1}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & H^r(M,Y,\rho) \arrow[d, "\Psi_r"] \\
H_r(M,Y,\rho) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & H_{r-1}(Y,\rho) \arrow[r, "j_*"] & H_{r-1}(M,\rho)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $j : Y \to M$ is the natural inclusion and $\widetilde{\delta}$ and δ are connecting homomorphisms. We note that j^* and j_* are duals to each other, and hence they have the same rank. The exactness of the rows and the commutativity of the diagram lead to the following lemma (Theorem 10.4 in [7]).

Lemma 2.6.

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{r-1}(Y,\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Im} j^* + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\delta} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Im} j^* + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Im} j_* = 2\ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Im} j^*.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}(Y,E|_Y)$ *is an even dimensional vector space.*

2.4. **Structure of $L^2\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)$.** We next discuss the spectral decomposition of $L^2\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$. We recall that the adjoint of $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ is $\mathcal{B}_Y'^2$ and denote the spectra of $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ and $\mathcal{B}_Y'^2$ by $\{\lambda_k \mid k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\{\overline{\lambda}_k \mid k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$, respectively. For each $0 \leq q \leq m$ $L^2\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)$ is decomposed into the sum of generalized eigenspaces of $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ or the generalized eigenspaces of $\mathcal{B}_Y'^2$, *i.e.*

$$L^2\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y) \;=\; \overline{\oplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\Lambda_k(Y, E|_Y)} \;=\; \overline{\oplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\Lambda'_k(Y, E|_Y)}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\Lambda_k(Y, E|_Y)$ and $\Lambda'_k(Y, E|_Y)$ are the kernels of $(\mathcal{B}_Y^2 - \lambda_k I)^{m_k}$ and $(\mathcal{B}_Y'^2 - \overline{\lambda}_k I)^{m_k}$ for some $m_k \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively.

Lemma 2.7. *For $k \neq l$, $\Lambda_k(Y, E|_Y)$ and $\Lambda'_l(Y, E|_Y)$ are perpendicular each other.*

Proof. We may assume that $\lambda_k \neq 0$. If $\mathcal{B}_Y^2\phi_1 = \lambda_k\phi_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_Y'^2\psi_1 = \overline{\lambda}_l\psi_1$, it is easy to see $\langle \phi_1,\ \psi_1\rangle_Y = 0$. Suppose that $\psi_2 \in \Lambda'_l(Y, E|_Y)$ such that $(\mathcal{B}_Y'^2 - \overline{\lambda}_l I)\psi_2 = \psi_1$. Then $\mathcal{B}_Y'^2\psi_2 = \overline{\lambda}_l\psi_2 + \psi_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_1,\ \psi_2\rangle_Y \;&=\; \frac{1}{\lambda_k}\langle \mathcal{B}_Y^2\phi_1,\ \psi_2\rangle_Y \;=\; \frac{1}{\lambda_k}\langle \phi_1,\ \mathcal{B}_Y'^2\psi_2\rangle_Y \;=\; \frac{1}{\lambda_k}\langle \phi_1,\ \overline{\lambda}_l\psi_2 + \psi_1\rangle_Y \;=\; \frac{1}{\lambda_k}\langle \phi_1,\ \overline{\lambda}_l\psi_2\rangle_Y \\ &=\; \frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_k}\langle \phi_1,\ \psi_2\rangle_Y,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\langle \phi_1,\ \psi_2\rangle_Y = 0$. Repeating this argument proves the lemma. □

2.5. **Boundary conditions for $\mathcal{B}$.** In this subsection we define a boundary condition which fits to the refined analytic torsion. We first define $\mathcal{H}^q_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}^q_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y) := \left(\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\right) \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y), \qquad \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y) := \oplus_{q=0}^{m-1}\mathcal{H}^q_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y). \tag{2.17}$$

We first note that generally the kernel of $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ is possibly bigger than $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$, which is the case that we want to avoid. Moreover, we want $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$ to be a symplectic vector space. For these reasons we make the following two assumptions all through this paper.

Assumption A : $\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2 \cap \operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \;=\; \ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2 \cap \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y \;=\; \{0\}$.

Assumption B : $\dim\ker(\Gamma^Y - I) = \dim\ker(\Gamma^Y + I)$ on $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$.

Remark : (1) The Assumption A implies $\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2 = \ker\mathcal{B}_Y = \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$ (Corollary 2.10 below).
(2) The Assumption A implies that $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$ is an even dimensional vector space (Corollary 2.10 below) and the Assumption B implies that $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}_{\nabla}(Y, E|_Y)$ is a symplectic vector space.
(3) If the connection ∇ is Hermitian, *i.e.* $\nabla = \nabla'$, then $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ satisfies the Assumption A and B (Lemma 2.4). If $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ is invertible for all q-forms, the Assumption A and B are trivially satisfied.

We suppose that $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\Lambda_0(Y, E|_Y)$ is the generalized 0-eigenspace of $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ (cf. (2.16)).

Lemma 2.8. *The followings hold under the Assumption A:*
(1) If $(\mathcal{B}_Y^2)^2\phi = 0$, then $\mathcal{B}_Y^2\phi = 0$. Hence, $\Lambda_0(Y, E|_Y) = \ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2$.
(2) $\ker\mathcal{B}_Y'^2 \cap \operatorname{Im}\nabla'^Y \;=\; \ker\mathcal{B}_Y'^2 \cap \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \;=\; \{0\}$. Similarly, $\Lambda'_0(Y, E|_Y) = \ker\mathcal{B}_Y'^2$.

Proof. (1) We assume that $\psi = \mathcal{B}_Y^2\phi = \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\phi + \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\phi \in \ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2$. Since $\mathcal{B}_Y^2$ commutes with ∇^Y, $\nabla^Y\psi = \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\phi$ belongs to $\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2 \cap \operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y$, which is 0 by the Assumption A. Hence, $\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\phi \in \ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2 \cap \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$, which is 0 again by the Assumption A. Similar argument with $\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$ shows that $\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\phi = 0$, which completes the proof of the assertion (1).
(2) Suppose that $\nabla'^Y\phi \in \operatorname{Im}\nabla'^Y \cap \ker\mathcal{B}'^2_Y$. Then for any $\psi \in \Lambda_0(Y, E|_Y)$,

$$\langle\psi,\ \nabla'^Y\phi\rangle_Y \ = \ \langle\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\psi,\ \phi\rangle_Y \ = \ 0,$$

since $\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\psi \in \ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2 \cap \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y = \{0\}$. This shows that $\nabla'^Y\phi \in \Lambda_0(Y, E|_Y)^{\perp}$. Since $\Lambda_0'(Y, E|_Y)$ is perpendicular to $\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty}\Lambda_k(Y, E|_Y)$ by Lemma 2.7, $\nabla'^Y\phi$ is perpendicular to $L^2\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)$, which implies that $\nabla'^Y\phi = 0$. Similar argument shows that if $\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\phi \in \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \cap \ker\mathcal{B}'^2_Y$, then $\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\phi = 0$, which completes the proof of the second assertion. □

The Assumption A implies that $\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \cap \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y = \operatorname{Im}\nabla'^Y \cap \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y = \{0\}$. Moreover, we have the following decompositions.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^q(Y, E|_Y) &= \operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \oplus \left(\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y \oplus \left(\ker\nabla'^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Im}\nabla'^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \oplus \left(\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we should mention that the first decomposition is orthogonal but $\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$ and $\operatorname{Im}\nabla'^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y$ are not necessarily orthogonal and $\left(\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\right)^{\perp} = \left(\ker\nabla'^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Im}\nabla'^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\right)^{\perp} = \left(\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\right)$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let* $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2}$ *and* $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}'^2_Y}$ *be the orthogonal projections onto* $\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$ *and* $\ker\nabla'^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y$, *respectively. Then under the assumption A, the following statements hold.*
(1) $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2} : \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \to \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$ *is an isomorphism.*
(2) $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}'^2_Y} : \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \to \ker\nabla'^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y$ *is an isomorphism.*
(3) $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2} : \ker\nabla'^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \to \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$ *is an isomorphism.*
In particular, the dimensions of $\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y$, $\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$ *and* $\ker\nabla'^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y$ *are same and even by Lemma 2.6.*

Proof. We are going to prove the assertion (1) and we can carry out the same argument for the proof of the assertions (2) and (3). We first consider $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2} : \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \to \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y$ and suppose that $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2}\phi = 0$ for $\phi \in \ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y)$. Then we can express ϕ by

$$\phi = \nabla'^Y\phi_1 + \Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2 \quad \text{for some} \quad \phi_1 \in \Omega^{q-1}(Y, E|_Y), \quad \phi_2 \in \Omega^{q+1}(Y, E|_Y).$$

Applying $\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y$, we have

$$0 = \Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\phi = \Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\phi_1.$$

By (2) in Lemma 2.8 we have $\nabla'^Y\phi_1 = 0$ and hence $\phi = \Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2$. Since

$$\nabla^Y\phi = \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2 = \nabla^Y(\nabla^Y)^*\phi_2 = 0,$$

we have $\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2 = 0$. Hence, $\operatorname{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}_Y^2}$ is injective and

$$\dim\left(\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\right) \le \dim\left(\ker\nabla^Y \cap \ker\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\right).$$

Similarly, the orthogonal projection from $\ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y$ to $\ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y$ is injective and hence

$$\dim\left(\ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y\right) \geq \dim\left(\ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y\right),$$

which shows the assertion (1). □

Remark: It's not difficult to see that $\mathrm{pr}_{\ker \mathcal{B}_Y^2} : \ker \nabla'^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y \to \ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y$ commutes with Γ^Y. Hence, the Assumption B implies that $\Gamma^Y : \ker \nabla'^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y \to \ker \nabla'^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y$ is a linear map of trace 0.

Corollary 2.10. *Under the Assumption A, the following decompositions hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) &= \operatorname{Im} \nabla^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im} \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y \oplus \left(\ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \nabla^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im} \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \oplus \left(\ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \nabla'^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im} \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y \oplus \left(\ker \nabla'^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first decomposition is orthogonal but the second and third decompositions are not necessarily orthogonal. The dimensions of the last summands are equal and even. In particular, we have $\ker \mathcal{B}_Y^2 = \ker \nabla^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y$ *and* $\ker \mathcal{B}_Y'^2 = \ker \nabla'^Y \cap \ker \Gamma^Y \nabla'^Y \Gamma^Y$.

We note that

$$\Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) \;=\; \operatorname{Im} \nabla^Y \oplus \operatorname{Im} \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \oplus \mathcal{H}^\bullet_\nabla(Y, E|_Y)$$

and define projections $\mathcal{P}_-$, $\mathcal{P}_+$ and $\mathcal{P}_h$

$$\mathcal{P}_-,\ \mathcal{P}_+,\ \mathcal{P}_h : \Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) \to \Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(Y, E|_Y)$$

as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_- &= \left(\Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y + \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker \mathcal{B}_Y'^2}\right)^{-1} \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{P}_+ &= \left(\Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y + \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker \mathcal{B}_Y'^2}\right)^{-1} \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{P}_h &= I - \mathcal{P}_- - \mathcal{P}_+. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_-$, $\mathcal{P}_+$ and $\mathcal{P}_h$ are (not necessarily orthogonal) projections onto $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im} \nabla^Y \\ \operatorname{Im} \nabla^Y \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im} \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \\ \operatorname{Im} \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^\bullet_\nabla(Y, E|_Y) \\ \mathcal{H}^\bullet_\nabla(Y, E|_Y) \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_\pm$ are ΨDO's of order 0 and $\mathcal{P}_h$ is a smoothing operator.

The Assumption B implies that $\mathcal{H}^{r-1}_\nabla(Y, E|_Y)$ is a symplectic vector space and hence $\mathcal{H}^\bullet_\nabla(Y, E|_Y)$ is also a symplectic vector space. We choose a Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{H}^\bullet_\nabla(Y, E|_Y)$ so that

$$\mathcal{H}^\bullet_\nabla(Y, E|_Y) \;=\; \mathcal{K} \oplus \Gamma^Y \mathcal{K}. \tag{2.18}$$

We put

$$\mathcal{L}_0 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^Y \mathcal{K} \\ \Gamma^Y \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.19}$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0} = \mathcal{P}_- + \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}_0}\mathcal{P}_h, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1} = \mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}_1}\mathcal{P}_h, \tag{2.20}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_1}$ is the projection onto $\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_1$ with vanishing on $\mathcal{L}_1/\mathcal{L}_0$. Then $\mathcal{L}_0$, $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ satisfy

$$\Gamma\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \Gamma\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_0, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}\,\Gamma \;=\; \Gamma\,\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}. \tag{2.21}$$

In Subsection 2.6 we are going to show that $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ give well-posed boundary conditions for $\mathcal{B}$.

Remark : If ∇ is a Hermitian connection, Lemma 2.4 shows that we can choose $\mathcal{K}$ canonically.

We define the realizations $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$, $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ by the operators $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}^2$ with domains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right) &= \left\{\psi \in \Omega^\bullet(M,E) \mid \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}(\psi|_Y) = 0\right\}, \\
\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right) &= \left\{\psi \in \Omega^\bullet(M,E) \mid \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}(\psi|_Y) = 0,\ \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}((\mathcal{B}\psi)|_Y) = 0\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Similarly, we define the domains of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$, $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right) &= \left\{\psi \in \Omega^\bullet(M,E) \mid \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}(\psi|_Y) = 0\right\}, \\
\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right) &= \left\{\psi \in \Omega^\bullet(M,E) \mid \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}(\psi|_Y) = 0,\ \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}((\mathcal{B}\psi)|_Y) = 0\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

The equality (2.21) shows that Γ maps $\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ isomorphically onto $\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ and vice versa. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\,\Gamma \;=\; \Gamma\,\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}. \tag{2.24}$$

Specially, if ∇ is Hermitian with respect to h^E, *i.e.* $\nabla = \nabla'$, then $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ satisfy the following properties.

Lemma 2.11. *Let ∇ be a Hermitian connection and $\mathcal{K}$ be chosen by (2.14). Then $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ are self-adjoint operators and for each $0 \le q \le m$*

$$\ker \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} = \mathcal{H}^q_{rel}(M,E), \qquad \ker \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}} = \mathcal{H}^q_{abs}(M,E).$$

Proof. We are going to prove the lemma for the operator $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$. The same argument works for $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$. The self-adjointness comes from Corollary 2.3 and hence $\ker \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} = \ker \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} \cap \Omega^q(M,E)$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^q_{rel}(M,E)$. Then near the boundary Y ϕ has the form of (cf. (2.13) - (2.15))

$$\phi = \nabla^Y\phi_1 + du \wedge (\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2 + \phi_{2,h}) \quad \text{with} \quad (\nabla^Y\phi_1)|_Y = 0, \quad \phi_{2,h} \in \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}((\nabla^Y\phi_1)|_Y) = 0, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}\left((\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2)|_Y + \phi_{2,h}\right) = 0,$$

which shows that $\phi \in \ker \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} \cap \Omega^q(M,E)$. Let $\phi \in \ker \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} \cap \Omega^q(M,E)$. Then near the boundary Y ϕ has the form of

$$\phi = \nabla^Y\phi_1 + \phi_{1,h} + du \wedge (\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2 + \phi_{2,h}), \quad \phi_{1,h} \in \mathcal{K}, \quad \phi_{2,h} \in \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}.$$

Since $\phi \in \mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}(\nabla^Y\phi_1|_Y + \phi_{1,h}) = \nabla^Y\phi_1|_Y + \phi_{1,h} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}(\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\phi_2|_Y + \phi_{2,h}) = 0,$$

which shows that $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^q_{rel}(M,E)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

The next lemma shows that the boundary conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ fit well to the graded structure of $\Omega^\bullet(M,E)$ and the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 2.12. *(1) If* $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$*, then* $\nabla\psi$ *belongs to* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right)$.
(2) If $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ *is an eigenform of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with eigenvalue* λ^2*, then* $\nabla\psi$ *belongs to* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right)$ *and an eigenform of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with eigenvalue* λ^2.
(3) Let $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_k$ *be generalized eigensections of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with a generalized eigenvalue* λ^2 *in* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ *satisfying* $(\mathcal{B}^2-\lambda^2)\psi_j = \psi_{j-1}$*, where* $\psi_0 = 0$*. Then* $\nabla\psi_1, \cdots, \nabla\psi_k$ *belong to* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right)$ *and are generalized eigensections of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with a generalized eigenvalue* λ^2.
(4) Similar statements hold for $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right)$.

Proof. (1) We note that for $\psi \in \Omega^\bullet(M,E)$, ψ is written on a collar neighborhood of Y by

$$\psi = \psi^-_{\text{tan}} + \psi^+_{\text{tan}} + du \wedge (\psi^-_{\text{nor}} + \psi^+_{\text{nor}}),$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} \psi^-_{\text{tan}} \\ \psi^-_{\text{nor}} \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \psi^+_{\text{tan}} \\ \psi^+_{\text{nor}} \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$. The fact $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ implies that

$$\psi^-_{\text{tan}}|_Y = \psi^-_{\text{nor}}|_Y = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

We note that by (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}\psi &= -i\beta\Gamma^Y \left\{ \nabla_{\partial u} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(\nabla^Y + \Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y\right) \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^-_{\text{tan}} + \psi^+_{\text{tan}} \\ \psi^-_{\text{nor}} + \psi^+_{\text{nor}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -i\beta\Gamma^Y \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\text{tan}} + \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{tan}} - \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{nor}} - \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\psi^-_{\text{nor}} \\ \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\text{nor}} + \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{nor}} - \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{tan}} - \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\psi^-_{\text{tan}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

The above equality with (2.25) shows that

$$(\mathcal{B}\psi)|_Y = -i\beta\Gamma^Y \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\text{tan}}|_Y + \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{tan}}|_Y - \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{nor}}|_Y \\ \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\text{nor}}|_Y + \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{nor}}|_Y - \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{tan}}|_Y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.27}$$

The fact $\mathcal{B}\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ implies

$$\nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{tan}}|_Y = \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{nor}}|_Y = 0. \tag{2.28}$$

Hence, if $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$, then (2.25) and (2.28) show that ψ satisfies

$$\psi^-_{\text{tan}}|_Y = \psi^-_{\text{nor}}|_Y = 0, \qquad \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{tan}}|_Y = \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{nor}}|_Y = 0. \tag{2.29}$$

We next note that by (2.6)

$$\nabla\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^Y & 0 \\ \nabla_{\partial u} & -\nabla^Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^-_{\text{tan}} + \psi^+_{\text{tan}} \\ \psi^-_{\text{nor}} + \psi^+_{\text{nor}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{tan}} \\ \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\text{tan}} + \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\text{tan}} - \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{nor}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This equality together with (2.29) leads to

$$(\nabla\psi)|_Y = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{tan}}|_Y \\ \nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\text{tan}}|_Y - \nabla^Y\psi^+_{\text{nor}}|_Y \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0},$$

which shows that $\nabla\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right)$.

(2) We suppose that ψ belongs to Dom $\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ with $\mathcal{B}^2\psi = \lambda^2\psi$. Clearly $\nabla\psi$ is an eigenform of $\mathcal{B}^2$ with eigenvalue λ^2. On a collar neighborhood of Y we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^2\psi &= \left\{-\nabla^2_{\partial u} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\nabla^Y + \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\right)^2\right\} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} + \psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} \\ \psi^-_{\mathrm{nor}} + \psi^+_{\mathrm{nor}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} - \nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} \\ -\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{nor}} - \nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\mathrm{nor}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^-_{\mathrm{nor}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{nor}} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} \psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} + \psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} \\ \psi^-_{\mathrm{nor}} + \psi^+_{\mathrm{nor}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Simple computation using (2.26) shows that

$$\mathcal{B}\left(\nabla\psi\right) = -i\beta\Gamma^Y \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\partial u}\nabla^Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} - \nabla^Y\nabla_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} - \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} + \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{nor}} \\ \nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} + \nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} - \nabla_{\partial u}\nabla^Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{nor}} - \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}} \end{pmatrix},$$

which leads to

$$\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\nabla\psi\right)\right)|_Y = -i\beta \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}}|_Y + \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{nor}}|_Y \\ \Gamma^Y\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}}|_Y - \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\psi^+_{\mathrm{tan}}|_Y \end{pmatrix} - i\beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Gamma^Y\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}}|_Y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.31}$$

The equation (2.30) shows that

$$-\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}} = \lambda^2\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}}.$$

Since $\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}}|_Y = 0$, we have

$$\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{\mathrm{tan}}|_Y = 0.$$

This equality with (2.31) shows that

$$\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\nabla\psi\right)\right)|_Y \in \mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right),$$

and hence $(\nabla\psi) \in \mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)$.

(3) If $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_k$ are generalized eigensections of $\mathcal{B}^2$ with the corresponding generalized eigenvalue λ^2, then obviously so are $\nabla\psi_1, \cdots, \nabla\psi_k$. We now consider ψ_2. We write $\psi_2 = \psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}} + \psi^+_{2,\mathrm{tan}} + du \wedge (\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{nor}} + \psi^+_{2,\mathrm{nor}})$ as above. Since $\mathcal{B}^2\psi_2 = \lambda^2\psi_2 + \psi_1$, we have (cf. (2.30))

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}} - \nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^+_{2,\mathrm{tan}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^+_{2,\mathrm{tan}} \\ -\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{nor}} - \nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^+_{2,\mathrm{nor}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{nor}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^+_{2,\mathrm{nor}} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} \psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}} + \psi^+_{2,\mathrm{tan}} \\ \psi^-_{2,\mathrm{nor}} + \psi^+_{2,\mathrm{nor}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \psi^-_{1,\mathrm{tan}} + \psi^+_{1,\mathrm{tan}} \\ \psi^-_{1,\mathrm{nor}} + \psi^+_{1,\mathrm{nor}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.32}$$

By (2.31) we have

$$\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\nabla\psi_2\right)\right)|_Y = -i\beta \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla_{\partial u}\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}}|_Y + \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\psi^+_{2,\mathrm{nor}}|_Y \\ \Gamma^Y\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^+_{2,\mathrm{tan}}|_Y - \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\psi^+_{2,\mathrm{tan}}|_Y \end{pmatrix} - i\beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Gamma^Y\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}}|_Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (2.32) we have

$$-\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}} + \mathcal{B}^2_Y\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}} = \lambda^2\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}} + \psi^-_{1,\mathrm{tan}}$$

and $\nabla^2_{\partial u}\psi^-_{2,\mathrm{tan}}|_Y = 0$ by (2.29), which shows that $\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\nabla\psi_2\right)\right)|_Y \in \mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)$ and hence $\nabla\psi_2 \in \mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)$. Repetition of this argument completes the proof of the assertion (3). □

Since Γ maps Dom $\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ onto Dom $\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)$ isomorphically and vice versa (cf. (2.24)), the above lemma can be rewritten as follows.

Corollary 2.13. *(1) If* $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$*, then* $\Gamma\nabla\psi$ *belongs to* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right) \cap \ker(\Gamma\nabla\Gamma)$ *and* $\nabla\Gamma\psi$ *belongs to* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right) \cap \ker\nabla$*.*
(2) If $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ *is an eigenform of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with eigenvalue* λ^2*, then* $\Gamma\nabla\psi$ *and* $\nabla\Gamma\psi$ *belong to* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ *and are eigenforms of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with eigenvalue* λ^2*.*
(3) If $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_k$ *are generalized eigensections of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with a generalized eigenvalue* λ^2 *in* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$*, then both* $\Gamma\nabla\psi_1, \cdots, \Gamma\nabla\psi_k$ *and* $\nabla\Gamma\psi_1, \cdots, \nabla\Gamma\psi_k$ *belong to* $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ *and are generalized eigensections of* $\mathcal{B}^2$ *with a generalized eigenvalue* λ^2*.*
(4) Similar statements hold for $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}\right)$*.*

In the next subsection, we are going to discuss the well-posed boundary conditions developed by Seeley ([18], [26]) and show that both $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ give well-posed boundary conditions for the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$.

2.6. **Well-posed Boundary value problem for Dirac type operators.** In this subsection we describe briefly the well-posed boundary value problem for a Dirac type operator on a compact oriented manifold with boundary. We refer to [18] and [26] for more details. Let X be a compact manifold with boundary Y and $F \to X$ be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank k over X. We suppose that $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(F) \to C^\infty(F)$ is a first order elliptic differential operator on X and on a small collar neighborhood of Y assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is represented by

$$\mathcal{D} = G(\partial_u + A + uP_1 + P_0), \tag{2.33}$$

where G is a bundle automorphism of $F|_Y$, A is a self-adjoint elliptic differential operator of order 1 acting on $C^\infty(F|_Y)$ and P_j $(j = 0, 1)$ are differential operators of order $\leq j$. We call $\mathcal{D}$ a Dirac type operator. For $s > \frac{1}{2}$, we define the Cauchy data space N^s_+ by

$$N^s_+ = \{\ \phi|_Y \mid \phi \in H^s(M, F),\ \mathcal{D}\phi = 0\ \}$$

and define the Calderón projector $\mathcal{C}^+$ by the orthogonal projection from $H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(Y, F|_Y)$ to N^s_+. We put $\mathcal{C}^- = I - \mathcal{C}^+$. We denote by $\sigma_L(\mathcal{C}^\pm)(x', \xi') : T^*Y \to Aut(F|_Y)$ the principal symbols of $\mathcal{C}^\pm$ and $N_\pm(x', \xi') = \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_L(\mathcal{C}^\pm)(x', \xi')) \subset \mathbb{C}^k$. The following definition is due to Seeley ([18], [26]).

Definition 2.14. *(Well-posedness). Let* X *be a compact oriented manifold with boundary* Y *and* $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(F) \to C^\infty(F)$ *be a Dirac type operator. Suppose that* $B : C^\infty(F|_Y) \to C^\infty(F|_Y)$ *is a classical* ΨDO *of order* 0*. Then* B *is well-posed for* $\mathcal{D}$ *when :*
(1) The mapping defined by B *in* $H^s(F|_Y)$ *has closed range for each* $s \in \mathbb{R}$*.*
(2) For each $(x', \xi') \in T^*Y$ *with* $\| \xi' \| = 1$*, the principal symbol* $b^0(x', \xi')$ *for* B *maps* $N_+(x', \xi')$ *injectively onto the range of* $b^0(x', \xi')$ *in* $\mathbb{C}^k$*.*

When B is well-posed for $\mathcal{D}$, the realization $\mathcal{D}_B$ is a Fredholm operator and has a compact resolvent. In particular, its spectrum is discrete and each generalized eigenvalue has a finite multiplicity. Let $\Pi_\geq$ be an orthogonal projection onto the non-negative eigenspaces of A, where A is the self-adjoint tangential operator in (2.33). It is a well-known fact that $\sigma_L(\Pi_\geq)(x', \xi')$ is an orthogonal projection onto the space of positive eigenvectors of $\sigma_L(A)(x', \xi')$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a Dirac type operator, it is also a well-known fact that

$\mathcal{C}^{+} - \Pi_{\geq}$ is a classical ΨDO of order -1 and hence $\sigma_L(\mathcal{C}^{+})(x', \xi')$ is an orthogonal projection onto the space of positive eigenvectors of $\sigma_L(A)(x', \xi')$.

Now we go back to the odd signature operator. Comparing (2.11) with (2.33), we have

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(\nabla^{Y} + \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} + \nabla'^{Y} + \Gamma^{Y} \nabla'^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \right),$$

where we note that

$$\sigma_L(\nabla^{Y}) = \sigma_L(\nabla'^{Y}), \qquad \sigma_L(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y}) = \sigma_L(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla'^{Y} \Gamma^{Y}).$$

It is well-known (cf. p.47 in [16]) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_L(\nabla^{Y}),\ \sigma_L(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y}) \ : \ T^{*}Y \to Aut\left((\wedge^{\bullet} T^{*}M \otimes E)|_{Y} \right) \\ &\sigma_L(\nabla^{Y})(x', \xi')(\omega) = i\xi' \wedge \omega, \quad \sigma_L(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y})(x', \xi')(\omega) = -i\xi' \lrcorner\, \omega, \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where $\xi' \lrcorner$ is the interior product with ξ'. This leads to

$$\sigma_L(A)(x', \xi') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i(\xi' \wedge \ -\xi' \lrcorner\,) \\ -i(\xi' \wedge - \xi' \lrcorner\,) & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} (\wedge^{\bullet} T^{*}M \otimes E)|_{Y} \\ (\wedge^{\bullet} T^{*}M \otimes E)|_{Y} \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} (\wedge^{\bullet} T^{*}M \otimes E)|_{Y} \\ (\wedge^{\bullet} T^{*}M \otimes E)|_{Y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the positive eigenspace of } - i(\xi' \wedge -\xi' \lrcorner\,) \ &= \ \{ \| \xi' \| \, \omega - i\xi' \wedge \omega \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0 \}, \\ \text{the negative eigenspace of } - i(\xi' \wedge -\xi' \lrcorner\,) \ &= \ \{ \| \xi' \| \, \omega + i\xi' \wedge \omega \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the positive eigenspace of $\sigma_L(A)(x', \xi')$, which is $N_{+}(x', \xi')$, is spanned by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \| \xi' \| \, \omega - i\xi' \wedge \omega \\ \| \xi' \| \, \omega - i\xi' \wedge \omega \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \| \xi' \| \, \omega + i\xi' \wedge \omega \\ - \| \xi' \| \, \omega - i\xi' \wedge \omega \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.35}$$

and $\sigma_L(\mathcal{C}^{+})(x', \xi')$ is an orthogonal projection onto the above space.

We next compute the principal symbols of $\mathcal{P}_{-}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+}$ by using (2.34). We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_L \left(\left(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} + \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker \mathcal{B}'^{2}_{Y}} \right)^{-1} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \right) (x', \xi') \\ = \ &\sigma_L \left(\left(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} + \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker \mathcal{B}'^{2}_{Y}} \right)^{-1} \right) (x', \xi') \ \sigma_L \left(\nabla^{Y} \right)(x', \xi') \ \sigma_L(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y})(x', \xi') \\ = \ &\frac{1}{\| \xi' \|^{2}} (i\xi' \wedge)(-i\xi' \lrcorner\,) \\ = \ &\text{the orthogonal projection onto} \quad \{ \xi' \wedge \omega \in (\wedge^{\bullet} T^{*}M \otimes E)|_{Y} \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0 \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_L \left(\left(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} + \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker \mathcal{B}'^{2}_{Y}} \right)^{-1} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \right) (x', \xi') \\ = \ &\sigma_L \left(\left(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} + \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker \mathcal{B}'^{2}_{Y}} \right)^{-1} \right) (x', \xi') \ \sigma_L \left(\Gamma^{Y} \nabla^{Y} \Gamma^{Y} \right) (x', \xi') \ \sigma_L \left(\nabla^{Y} \right)(x', \xi') \\ = \ &\frac{1}{\| \xi' \|^{2}} (-i\xi' \lrcorner\,)(i\xi' \wedge) \\ = \ &\text{the orthogonal projection onto} \quad \{ \omega \in (\wedge^{\bullet} T^{*}M \otimes E)|_{Y} \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0 \}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\sigma_L(\mathcal{P}_-)(x',\xi'), \quad \sigma_L(\mathcal{P}_+)(x',\xi') : \begin{pmatrix} (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \\ (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \\ (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \end{pmatrix}$$

are orthogonal projections onto

$$\begin{pmatrix} \{\xi'\wedge\omega \in (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0\} \\ \{\xi'\wedge\omega \in (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0\} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \{\omega \in (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0\} \\ \{\omega \in (\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y \mid \xi' \lrcorner\, \omega = 0\} \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

Lemma 2.15. *$\mathcal{P}_-$ and $\mathcal{P}_+$ are well-posed boundary conditions for the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. We are going to check that $\mathcal{P}_-$ is well-posed for $\mathcal{B}$. The same argument works for $\mathcal{P}_+$. We note that for each $s\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^s\left((\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y\right) &= \left(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_- \cap H^s\left((\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y\right)\right) \oplus \left(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_+ \cap H^s\left((\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y\right)\right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_h \cap H^s\left((\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the range of $\mathcal{P}_-$ in $H^s\left((\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y\right)$ is a closed subspace of $H^s\left((\wedge^\bullet T^*M\otimes E)|_Y\right)$. In view of (2.35) we next note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_L(\mathcal{P}_-)((x',\xi')) \begin{pmatrix} \parallel \xi' \parallel \omega - i\xi'\wedge\omega \\ \parallel \xi' \parallel \omega - i\xi'\wedge\omega \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\xi'\wedge\omega \\ -i\xi'\wedge\omega \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma_L(\mathcal{P}_-)((x',\xi')) \begin{pmatrix} \parallel \xi' \parallel \omega + i\xi'\wedge\omega \\ -\parallel \xi' \parallel \omega - i\xi'\wedge\omega \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} i\xi'\wedge\omega \\ -i\xi'\wedge\omega \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities show that $\sigma_L(\mathcal{P}_-)((x',\xi'))\,(N_+(x',\xi'))$ is spanned by $\begin{pmatrix} i\xi'\wedge\omega \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i\xi'\wedge\omega \end{pmatrix}$, which is same as $\operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_L(\mathcal{P}_-)((x',\xi'))\right)$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}_-$ is well-posed for $\mathcal{B}$. □

2.7. **Agmon angles for the operators $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$.** In this subsection we employ the unitary twist method used in [32] to show an analogue of Lemma 4.1 in [11], which shows the distribution of generalized eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$. From this fact we can choose an Agmon angle arbitrarily close to any given angle ϕ for $-\frac{\pi}{2}<\phi<0$.

Definition 2.16. *The angle θ is called an Agmon angle for an elliptic operator $\mathfrak{D}$ if :*
(1) Spec $(\sigma_L(\mathfrak{D})(x,\xi)) \cap R_\theta = \emptyset$ for all $x\in M$ and $\xi \in T^*_xM - \{0\}$, where $R_\theta = \{\rho e^{i\theta} \mid 0<\rho<\infty\}$.
(2) Spec $(\mathfrak{D}) \cap L_{[\theta-\epsilon,\theta+\epsilon]} = \emptyset$ for some $\epsilon>0$, where $L_{[\theta-\epsilon,\theta+\epsilon]} = \{\rho e^{i\phi} \mid 0<\rho<\infty,\ \theta-\epsilon \le \phi \le \theta+\epsilon\}$.

We recall that ∇' is the dual connection of ∇ with respect to h^E and ∇'^Y is the induced connection on Y from ∇'. We define (not necessarily orthogonal) projections $\mathcal{P}^*_-$, $\mathcal{P}^*_+$ and $\mathcal{P}^*_h$ by

$$\mathcal{P}^*_-,\ \mathcal{P}^*_+,\ \mathcal{P}^*_h : \Omega^\bullet(Y,E|_Y)\oplus\Omega^\bullet(Y,E|_Y) \to \Omega^\bullet(Y,E|_Y)\oplus\Omega^\bullet(Y,E|_Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^*_- &= \left(\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y + \nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}^2_Y}\right)^{-1} \nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{P}^*_+ &= \left(\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y + \nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y + \mathrm{pr}_{\ker\mathcal{B}^2_Y}\right)^{-1} \Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y\Gamma^Y\nabla'^Y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{P}^*_h &= I - \mathcal{P}^*_- - \mathcal{P}^*_+. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_{-}^{*},\ \mathcal{P}_{+}^{*},\ \mathcal{P}_{h}^{*}$ are the adjoints of $\mathcal{P}_{-},\ \mathcal{P}_{+},\ \mathcal{P}_{h}$ and their images are $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im}\nabla'^{Y} \\ \operatorname{Im}\nabla'^{Y} \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^{Y}\nabla'^{Y}\Gamma^{Y} \\ \operatorname{Im}\Gamma^{Y}\nabla'^{Y}\Gamma^{Y} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \ker\nabla'^{Y}\cap\ker\Gamma^{Y}\nabla'^{Y}\nabla^{Y} \\ \ker\nabla'^{Y}\cap\ker\Gamma^{Y}\nabla'^{Y}\nabla^{Y} \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. We define

$$T : L^{2}(Y, E|_{Y}) \oplus L^{2}(Y, E|_{Y}) \to L^{2}(Y, E|_{Y}) \oplus L^{2}(Y, E|_{Y}) \qquad \text{by}$$

$$T = \mathcal{P}_{-}^{*}\mathcal{P}_{-} + \mathcal{P}_{+}^{*}\mathcal{P}_{+} + \mathcal{P}_{h}^{*}\mathcal{P}_{h}.$$

Then T is self-adjoint and injective. Simple computation shows that

$$T = I + (\mathcal{P}_{h}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{h})\mathcal{P}_{h} + (\mathcal{P}_{-}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{-})\mathcal{P}_{-} + (\mathcal{P}_{+}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{+})\mathcal{P}_{+}.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_{-}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{-}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{+}$ are ΨDO's of order -1, T is an elliptic operator of order 0 with the principal symbol I and hence T is a Fredholm operator of index 0. Since T is an injection, T is an invertible operator. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we define one parameter family of elliptic operators $T(t)$ by

$$T(t) = I + t\left\{(\mathcal{P}_{h}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{h})\mathcal{P}_{h} + (\mathcal{P}_{-}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{-})\mathcal{P}_{-} + (\mathcal{P}_{+}^{*} - \mathcal{P}_{+})\mathcal{P}_{+}\right\}.$$

For each $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $T(t)$ is still an elliptic operator with the principal symbol I and hence a Fredholm operator of index 0. In fact, $T(t)$ is an injection and hence $T(t)$ is an invertible operator. Indeed, suppose that $T(t)\phi = 0$. Then by simple computation we have

$$(1-t)\phi + t\left(\mathcal{P}_{h}^{*}\mathcal{P}_{h}\phi + \mathcal{P}_{-}^{*}\mathcal{P}_{-}\phi + \mathcal{P}_{+}^{*}\mathcal{P}_{+}\phi\right) = 0,$$

which leads to

$$(1-t) \parallel \phi \parallel_{Y}^{2} + t\left(\parallel \mathcal{P}_{h}\phi \parallel_{Y}^{2} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_{-}\phi \parallel_{Y}^{2} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_{+}\phi \parallel_{Y}^{2}\right) = 0.$$

Hence $\phi = 0$ and $T(t)$ is injective.

We recall that N is a collar neighborhood of Y which is isometric to $[0,1) \times Y$. We choose a decreasing smooth function $\rho(t) : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We now employ the unitary twist method used in [32]. We define

$$\widetilde{T} : L^{2}(M, E) \to L^{2}(M, E) \quad \text{by} \quad \widetilde{T} = \begin{cases} Id & \text{on} \quad M - N \\ T(\rho(t)) & \text{on} \quad Y_{t} := \{t\} \times Y. \end{cases}$$

Then $\widetilde{T}$ is an invertible, self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}(M, E)$. The following lemma is immediate from Corollary 2.3.

Lemma 2.17. *(1) For* $\phi \in \Omega^{q}(M, E) \cap \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_{0}}\right)$ *and* $\psi \in \Omega^{m-q-1}(M, E) \cap \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_{0}}\right)$,

$$\langle \Gamma\nabla\phi,\ \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_{M} \ = \ \langle \phi,\ \Gamma\nabla'\widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_{M}.$$

(2) For $\phi \in \Omega^{q}(M, E) \cap \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_{0}}\right)$ *and* $\psi \in \Omega^{m-q+1}(M, E) \cap \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_{0}}\right)$,

$$\langle \nabla\Gamma\phi,\ \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_{M} \ = \ \langle \phi,\ \nabla'\Gamma\widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_{M}.$$

(3) For $\phi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_{0}}\right)$ *and* $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_{0}}\right)$, $\langle \mathcal{B}\phi,\ \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_{M} \ = \ \langle \phi,\ \mathcal{B}'\widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_{M}$.

Lemma 2.18. *For $\phi \in \Omega^{\bullet}(M,E)$, $\langle \phi,\ \widetilde{T}\phi\rangle_M$ is positive real and $\geq \frac{1}{3} \parallel \phi \parallel_M$. Hence, if we define $\ll \phi,\ \psi \gg_M := \langle \phi,\ \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M$ for any $\phi,\ \psi \in \Omega^{\bullet}(M,E)$, then $\ll\ ,\ \gg_M$ is a Hermitian inner product.*

Proof. We first note that $M = M' \cup_Y ([0,1]\times Y)$, where $M' = M - ([0,1]\times Y)$. Since $\widetilde{T} = Id$ on M', we have

$$\langle \phi,\ \widetilde{T}\phi\rangle_M = \parallel \phi \parallel^2_{M'} + \langle \phi,\ \widetilde{T}\phi\rangle_{[0,1]\times Y}.$$

Since $\phi = \mathcal{P}_h\phi + \mathcal{P}_-\phi + \mathcal{P}_+\phi$, we have, on Y_t,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi,\ \widetilde{T}\phi\rangle_{Y_t} &= \langle \phi,\ \phi\rangle_{Y_t} + \rho(t)\langle \phi,\ (\mathcal{P}_h^* - \mathcal{P}_h)\mathcal{P}_h\phi + (\mathcal{P}_-^* - \mathcal{P}_-)\mathcal{P}_-\phi + (\mathcal{P}_+^* - \mathcal{P}_+)\mathcal{P}_+\phi\rangle_{Y_t} \\ &= (1-\rho(t)) \parallel \phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} + \rho(t)\left(\parallel \mathcal{P}_h\phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_-\phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_+\phi \parallel^2_{Y_t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

By squaring both sides of the following inequality

$$\parallel \mathcal{P}_h\phi \parallel_{Y_t} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_-\phi \parallel_{Y_t} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_+\phi \parallel_{Y_t} \geq \parallel \phi \parallel_{Y_t},$$

we have

$$\parallel \mathcal{P}_h\phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_-\phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} + \parallel \mathcal{P}_+\phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} \geq \frac{1}{3} \parallel \phi \parallel^2_{Y_t},$$

which leads to

$$\langle \phi,\ \widetilde{T}\phi\rangle_{Y_t} \ \geq\ (1-\rho(t)) \parallel \phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} + \frac{1}{3}\rho(t) \parallel \phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} =\ (1 - \frac{2}{3}\rho(t)) \parallel \phi \parallel^2_{Y_t} \geq\ \frac{1}{3} \parallel \phi \parallel^2_{Y_t}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We define operators $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$\mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{B} + \widetilde{T}^{-1}\mathcal{B}'\widetilde{T}\right), \qquad \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{B} - \widetilde{T}^{-1}\mathcal{B}'\widetilde{T}\right). \tag{2.36}$$

Then $\mathcal{U}$ is an elliptic ΨDO of order 1 having the same principal symbol as $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a ΨDO of order 0. Hence, $\mathcal{F}$ is a bounded operator and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} + \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 2.19. *$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ is a self-adjoint operator with respect to $\ll\ ,\ \gg_M$.*

Proof. Let $\phi,\ \psi \in \mathrm{Dom}\,(\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\ll \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\phi,\ \psi \gg_M &= \langle \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{B} + \widetilde{T}^{-1}\mathcal{B}'\widetilde{T}\right)\phi,\ \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M =\ \langle \phi,\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{B}' + \widetilde{T}\mathcal{B}\widetilde{T}^{-1}\right)\widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M \\ &= \langle \phi,\ \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{T}\left(\mathcal{B} + \widetilde{T}^{-1}\mathcal{B}'\widetilde{T}\right)\psi\rangle_M \ =\ \langle \phi,\ \widetilde{T}\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\psi\rangle_M \ = \ll \phi,\ \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\psi \gg_M,\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Corollary 2.20. *For $\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$, $\ll \mathcal{U}\psi,\ \psi \gg_M =\ \langle \mathcal{U}\psi,\ \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M$ is a real number.*

We now obtain an analogous result obtained in [11] on a closed manifold, which shows the distribution of generalized eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$.

Theorem 2.21. *In the decomposition $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{F}$ we put $\mathcal{N}_0 = 3 \parallel \mathcal{F} \parallel \parallel \widetilde{T} \parallel$. Then :*
(1) If λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$, then $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \leq \mathcal{N}_0$.
(2) If $\mu = \lambda^2$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$, then $\operatorname{Re}\mu \geq \frac{1}{4\mathcal{N}_0^2}\left(\operatorname{Im}\mu\right)^2 - \mathcal{N}_0^2$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}\psi = \lambda\psi$ with $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ and $\| \psi \|_M = 1$. Then from $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\lambda \,\langle \psi,\, \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M \;=\; \langle \mathcal{B}\psi,\, \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M \;=\; \langle \mathcal{U}\psi,\, \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M + \langle \mathcal{F}\psi,\, \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M .$$

Lemma 2.18 and Corollary 2.20 show that $(\operatorname{Im}\lambda)\langle\psi,\, \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M = \operatorname{Im}(\langle \mathcal{F}\psi,\, \widetilde{T}\psi\rangle_M)$. The assertion (1) follows from Lemma 2.18. Putting $\lambda = x + iy$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda^2 = (x^2 - y^2) + 2xyi$. Hence,

$$(\operatorname{Im}\mu)^2 = \left(\operatorname{Im}\lambda^2\right)^2 \;=\; 4x^2y^2 \;=\; 4y^2(x^2 - y^2 + y^2) \;=\; 4y^2\left(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^2) + y^2\right) \;\le\; 4\mathcal{N}_0^2\left(\operatorname{Re}\mu + \mathcal{N}_0^2\right),$$

from which the second assertion follows. □

Theorem 2.21 shows that if ϕ is an angle with $0 < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}$, both operators $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ have only finitely many eigenvalues in the sectors $L_{[\phi,\pi-\phi]}$ and $L_{[-\phi,-\pi+\phi]}$. Moreover, for each (x', ξ'), $\sigma_L(\mathcal{B})(x', \xi')$ is a symmetric matrix. This shows that we can choose an angle θ in these sectors so that θ is an Agmon angle for $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ and 2θ for $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$.

3. THE HEAT KERNEL ASYMPTOTICS OF $\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}})$ AND $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{even}} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}})$

In this section we discuss the small time asymptotic expansions of the traces of heat kernels of $e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{even}} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}}$ to compute the pole structures of the zeta and eta functions associated to $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$, where $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ are the restrictions of the operators $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ to $\Omega^q(M,E)$ and $\Omega^{\text{even}}(M,E)$, respectively. For this purpose we are going to construct parametrices for the heat kernels of $e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{even}} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}}$ by combining the heat kernels on the interior part and the heat kernels on the collar of the boundary part. We begin with the computation of the heat kernels on the half-infinite cylinder $Z := [0,\infty) \times Y$.

3.1. **The heat kernels on the half-infinite cylinder.**

We define the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}_{\text{cyl}}$ and its square $\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{cyl}}$ on Z by (2.8) and (2.10). We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\text{cyl,even}}$, $\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{cyl},q}$, $\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{cyl,even}}$ the restrictions $\mathcal{B}_{\text{cyl}}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{cyl}}$ to the space of even forms or q-forms and denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\text{cyl}}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\text{cyl}}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ the kernels of the heat operators $e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{cyl},q,\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}}$ and $e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{cyl,even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}}$ on Z. The boundary condition that we impose is equal to the Dirichlet condition on $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and the Neumann condition on $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$.

We note (cf. (2.19), (2.20)) that for each $0 \le q \le m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0} \cap \Omega^q(M,E)|_Y \quad &= \quad \begin{pmatrix} (\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \mathcal{K}) \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y) \\ (\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \mathcal{K}) \cap \Omega^{q-1}(Y, E|_Y) \end{pmatrix}, \\
\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1} \cap \Omega^q(M,E)|_Y \quad &= \quad \begin{pmatrix} (\operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y \oplus \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}) \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y) \\ (\operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y \oplus \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}) \cap \Omega^{q-1}(Y, E|_Y) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{B}^{2,\mp}_{Y,q}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}$ to $(\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \mathcal{K})$ and $(\operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y \oplus \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K})$, respectively, *i.e.*

$$\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q}\right) = (\operatorname{Im}\nabla^Y \oplus \mathcal{K}) \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y), \quad \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}\right) = (\operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y \oplus \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}) \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y). \tag{3.2}$$

Each component in (3.1) is decomposed into the sums of the generalized eigenspaces of $\mathcal{B}^{2,\mp}_{Y,q}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{2,\mp}_{Y,q-1}$. For example,

$$\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q}\right) \;=\; \left(\operatorname{Im}\nabla^{Y} \oplus \mathcal{K}\right) \cap \Omega^{q}(Y, E|_{Y}) \;=\; \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_{k}^{q,-}(Y, E|_{Y}), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\Lambda_k^{q,-}(Y,E|_Y) = \ker(\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q} - \lambda_{q,k} I)^{m_k}$ for some $m_k \in \mathbb{N}$, the generalized eigenspace of $\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_{q,k}$. Then we can choose a basis of $\Lambda_k^{q,-}(Y,E|_Y)$ by

$$\{\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_{p_k},\ \psi_{1,1}, \cdots, \psi_{1,l_1},\ \cdots,\ \psi_{r_k,1}, \cdots, \psi_{r_k,l_{r_k}}\}, \qquad (2 \le l_1 \le \cdots \le l_{r_k} = m_k),$$

where $\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}\phi_j = \lambda_{q,k}\phi_j$ $(1 \le j \le p_k)$, $\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}\psi_{j,1} = \lambda_{q,k}\psi_{j,1}$ $(1 \le j \le r_k)$ and $(\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q} - \lambda_{q,k} I)\psi_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j-1}$ $(1 \le i \le r_k,\ 2 \le j \le l_i)$. It is not difficult to check that

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}}\phi_j \;=\; e^{-t\lambda_{q,k}}\phi_j, \qquad e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}}\psi_{i,j} \;=\; e^{-t\lambda_{q,k}} \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} t^r \psi_{i,j-r}. \tag{3.4}$$

We choose a (not necessarily Lagrangian) subspace L' of $\ker \mathcal{B}'^2_Y$ (cf. Corollary 2.10 and *Remark* after Lemma 2.9) such that

$$L' \oplus \Gamma^Y L' = \ker \mathcal{B}'^2_Y, \qquad L' \perp \Gamma^Y \mathcal{K}.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\operatorname{Im}\nabla'^{Y} \oplus L'\right) \cap \Omega^q(Y, E|_Y) \;=\; \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda'^{q,-}_k(Y, E|_Y).$$

For each ϕ_j and $\psi_{i,j}$ we choose $\phi_j^*,\ \psi_{i,j}^* \in \Lambda'^{q,-}_k(Y,E|_Y)$ (cf. Lemma 2.7) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \phi_s,\ \phi_j^* \rangle_Y = \delta_{sj}, \quad \langle \psi_{s,l},\ \phi_j^* \rangle_Y = 0, \qquad \langle \phi_s,\ \psi_{i,j}^* \rangle_Y = 0, \quad \langle \psi_{s,l},\ \psi_{i,j}^* \rangle_Y = \delta_{si}\delta_{lj}, \\
&\phi_j^*,\ \psi_{i,j}^* \perp \Lambda_l^{q,-}(Y,E|_Y) \quad \text{for} \quad l \ne k, \qquad \phi_j^*,\ \psi_{i,j}^* \perp \left(\operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y \nabla^Y \Gamma^Y \oplus \Gamma^Y \mathcal{K}\right) \cap \Omega^q(Y,E|_Y).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Then the heat kernel $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},-}_{q,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ associated to the basis $\{\phi_i,\ \psi_{j,r} \mid 1 \le i \le p_k,\ 1 \le j \le r_k,\ 1 \le r \le l_j\}$ for $\Lambda_k^{q,-}(Y,E|_Y)$ is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},-}_{q,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y')) \;&=\; \sum_{i=1}^{p_k} \frac{e^{-t\lambda_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(u-v)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(u+v)^2}{4t}} \right\} \phi_i \otimes \phi_i^* \\
&+\; \sum_{i=1}^{r_k} \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} \frac{e^{-t\lambda_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(u-v)^2}{4t}} - e^{-\frac{(u+v)^2}{4t}} \right\} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} t^r \psi_{i,j-r} \right) \otimes \psi_{i,j}^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For $\lambda_{q-1,k} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q-1})$ we can construct the heat kernel $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},-}_{q-1,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ in the same way.

We next consider the case of Neumann condition. We recall that

$$\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}\right) \;=\; \left(\operatorname{Im}\Gamma^Y\nabla^{Y}\Gamma^Y \oplus \Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}\right) \cap \Omega^{q}(Y, E|_{Y}) \;=\; \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_{k}^{q,+}(Y, E|_{Y}), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\Lambda_k^{q,+}(Y,E|_Y) = \ker(\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q} - \mu_{q,k} I)^{n_k}$ for some $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, the generalized eigenspace of $\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}$ with eigenvalue $\mu_{q,k}$. For simplicity we use the same notation as in the previous case. We choose a basis of $\Lambda_k^{q,+}(Y,E|_Y)$ by

$$\{\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_{p_k},\ \psi_{1,1}, \cdots, \psi_{1,l_1},\ \cdots,\ \psi_{r_k,1}, \cdots, \psi_{r_k,l_{r_k}}\}, \qquad (2 \le l_1 \le \cdots \le l_{r_k} = n_k),$$

where $\mathcal{B}^2_{Y}\phi_j = \mu_{q,k}\phi_j$ $(1 \le j \le p_k)$, $\mathcal{B}^2_{Y}\psi_{j,1} = \mu_{q,k}\psi_{j,1}$ $(1 \le j \le r_k)$ and $(\mathcal{B}^2_{Y} - \mu_{q,k} I)\psi_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j-1}$ $(1 \le i \le r_k,\ 2 \le j \le l_i)$. The basis elements ϕ_i and $\psi_{j,r}$ satisfy the property (3.4). We choose ϕ_i^* and $\psi_{j,r}^* \in \Lambda'^{q,+}_k(Y, E|_Y)$ satisfying the similar properties as (3.5). Then the heat kernel $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},+}_{q,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ associated to the basis $\{\phi_i,\ \psi_{j,r} \mid 1 \le i \le p_k,\ 1 \le j \le r_k,\ 1 \le r \le l_j\}$ for $\Lambda^{q,+}_k(Y, E|_Y)$ is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},+}_{q,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y')) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p_k} \frac{e^{-t\mu_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(u-v)^2}{4t}} + e^{-\frac{(u+v)^2}{4t}} \right\} \phi_i \otimes \phi_i^* \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{r_k} \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} \frac{e^{-t\mu_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(u-v)^2}{4t}} + e^{-\frac{(u+v)^2}{4t}} \right\} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} t^r \psi_{i,j-r} \right) \otimes \psi_{i,j}^* . \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\mu_{q-1,k} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q-1})$ we can construct the heat kernel $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},+}_{q-1,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ in the same way.

Finally, the heat kernel $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y')) &= \left(\sum_{\lambda_{q,k}} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},-}_{q,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y')) + \sum_{\mu_{q,k}} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},+}_{q,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y')) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (3.9) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{\lambda_{q-1,k}} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},-}_{q-1,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y')) + \sum_{\mu_{q-1,k}} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl},+}_{q-1,k}(t,(u,y),(v,y')) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p},k}$ runs in $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\mu_{\mathfrak{p},k}$ runs in $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,\mathfrak{p}})$ for $\mathfrak{p} = q,\ q-1$.

3.2. **Construction of parametrices for the heat kernels of $e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$.**

Let $\widetilde{M}$ be the closed double of M, *i.e.*, $\widetilde{M} = M \cup_Y M$. We can extend the odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}$ and the flat bundle E on M to $\widetilde{M}$, which we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widetilde{E}$. We also denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_q$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}$ the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ acting on the space of q-forms and even forms and denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_q(t,x,x')$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{even}}(t,x,x')$ the kernels of the heat operators $e^{-t\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^2_q}$, $e^{-t\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^2_{\mathrm{even}}}$, respectively. It is a well-known fact (cf. Theorem 2.30 in [2]) that

$$|\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_q(t,x,x')| \le c_1 t^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-c_2 \frac{d(x,x')^2}{t}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad |D_x \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_q(t,x,x')| \le c_3 t^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} e^{-c_4 \frac{d(x,x')^2}{t}}, \qquad (3.10)$$

where c_i's are positive constants and D is a differential operator of order 1.

Recall that $N = [0,1) \times Y$ is a collar neighborhood of Y. Let $\rho(a,b)$ be a smooth increasing function of real variable such that

$$\rho(a,b)(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } u \le a \\ 1 & \text{for } u \ge b\ . \end{cases}$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &:= 1 - \rho(\frac{5}{7}, \frac{6}{7}), \qquad & \phi_2 &:= \rho(\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}) \\
\psi_1 &:= 1 - \rho(\frac{3}{7}, \frac{4}{7}), \qquad & \psi_2 &:= \rho(\frac{3}{7}, \frac{4}{7}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y')) = \phi_1(u) \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y')) \psi_1(v) + \phi_2(u) \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y')) \psi_2(v). \qquad (3.11)$$

Then $\mathcal{Q}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ is a parametrix for the kernel of $e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_q(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ be the kernel of the heat operator $e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ on M. Then standard computation using (3.6), (3.8) and (3.10) shows (cf. [3], [13], [22]) that for $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$|\mathcal{E}_q(t,(u,y),(u,y)) - \mathcal{Q}_q(t,(u,y),(u,y))| \leq c_1 e^{-\frac{c_2}{t}} \tag{3.12}$$

for some positive constants c_1 and c_2. Similarly, we put

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y')) \\ = \quad & \phi_1(u)\mathcal{B}_{\text{cyl,even}}\mathcal{E}^{\text{cyl}}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))\psi_1(v) + \phi_2(u)\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{even}}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))\psi_2(v). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$ is a parametrix for $\mathcal{B}_{\text{even}}\mathcal{E}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))$, the kernel of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{even}}e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ on M and the standard computation shows that for $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$|\mathcal{B}_{\text{even}}\mathcal{E}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(u,y)) - \mathcal{R}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(u,y))| \leq c_3 e^{-\frac{c_4}{t}} \tag{3.14}$$

for some positive constants c_3 and c_4. It is also a well-known fact that for $t \to 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \operatorname{Tr} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_q(t,(u,y),(u,y)\psi_2(u)\, dvol(M) \quad &\sim \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^{-\frac{m-j}{2}}, \\ \int_M \operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{even}}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y')\psi_2(v)\right)|_{(v,y')=(u,y)}\, dvol(M) \quad &\sim \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^{-\frac{m+1-j}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $a_j = 0$ for j odd (cf. Theorem 0.1 in [4]). The coefficient b_m of the term $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (3.15) plays an important role regarding to the pole structure of the eta function associated to $\mathcal{B}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ at $s = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *The coefficient b_m appearing in (3.15) is equal to zero.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}} : \Omega^{\text{even}}(\widetilde{M},\mathbb{C}) \to \Omega^{\text{even}}(\widetilde{M},\mathbb{C})$ be the odd signature operator on $\widetilde{M}$ obtained by the trivial connection on the trivial line bundle $\widetilde{M} \times \mathbb{C} \to \widetilde{M}$. We consider the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}} e^{-t(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}})^2}\right) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_j t^{-\frac{m+1-j}{2}},$$

where $\widetilde{b}_j = \int_{\widetilde{M}} \widetilde{b}_j(x) dvol(\widetilde{M})$ for some local invariant $\widetilde{b}_m(x)$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}}$ is a compatible Dirac operator, the local invariant $\widetilde{b}_m(x) = 0$, which was shown in Theorem 3.2 in [4]. The coefficient b_m in (3.15) is given by $b_m = \int_M b_m(x)\psi_2(x) dvol(M)$ for some local invariant $b_m(x)$ on $\widetilde{M}$. Let Id_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix, where $n = \text{rank}(E)$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}} Id_n$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{even}}$ are locally same operators, $\widetilde{b}_m(x) = b_m(x) = 0$, from which the result follows. □

3.3. **Asymptotics of** $\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}})$ **and** $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}})$ **for** $t \to 0$**.** We denote $\alpha_k^{q,\pm} := \dim \Lambda_k^{q,\pm}(Y, E|_Y)$. Then (3.3) - (3.9) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \int_Y \mathrm{Tr}\ \mathcal{E}_q^{\mathrm{cyl}}(t,(u,y),(u,y))\psi_1(u)\ dvol(Y)du \qquad (3.16)\\
=\ & \sum_{\lambda_{q,k}} \frac{\alpha_k^{q,-}e^{-t\lambda_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_0^\infty (1-e^{-\frac{u^2}{t}})\psi_1(u)\ du\ +\ \sum_{\mu_{q,k}} \frac{\alpha_k^{q,+}e^{-t\mu_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_0^\infty (1+e^{-\frac{u^2}{t}})\psi_1(u)\ du\\
+\ & \sum_{\lambda_{q-1,k}} \frac{\alpha_k^{q-1,-}e^{-t\lambda_{q-1,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_0^\infty (1-e^{-\frac{u^2}{t}})\psi_1(u)\ du\ +\ \sum_{\mu_{q-1,k}} \frac{\alpha_k^{q-1,+}e^{-t\mu_{q-1,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_0^\infty (1+e^{-\frac{u^2}{t}})\psi_1(u)\ du.
\end{aligned}$$

It is a well-known fact that for $t \to 0^+$ the following sums

$$\sum_{\lambda_{q,k}} \alpha_k^{q,-}e^{-t\lambda_{q,k}}, \qquad \sum_{\mu_{q,k}} \alpha_k^{q,+}e^{-t\mu_{q,k}}, \qquad \sum_{\lambda_{q-1,k}} \alpha_k^{q-1,-}e^{-t\lambda_{q-1,k}}, \qquad \sum_{\mu_{q-1,k}} \alpha_k^{q-1,+}e^{-t\mu_{q-1,k}},$$

have asymptotic expansions of the type

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j t^{-\frac{m-1}{2}+j}. \tag{3.17}$$

The equalities (3.12), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) with the fact

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{t}}\psi_1(u)du = \frac{\sqrt{\pi t}}{2} + O(e^{-\frac{c}{t}}) \tag{3.18}$$

show that for $t \to 0^+$, $\mathrm{Tr}\left(e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right)$ has an asymptotic expansion of the following type.

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{c}_j t^{-\frac{m-j}{2}}. \tag{3.19}$$

We next discuss the asymptotic expansion, for $t \to 0^+$, of

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\phi_1(u)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{cyl,even}}\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}_{\mathrm{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))\psi_1(v)\right)|_{u=v,y=y'}.$$

We note that for $\omega \in \Omega^{\mathrm{even}}(Y, E|_Y)$, we have $\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\omega$, $\nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\omega \in \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(Y, E|_Y)$, which shows that

$$\langle \Gamma^Y\nabla^Y\omega,\ \omega\rangle_Y = 0, \qquad \langle \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\omega,\ \omega\rangle_Y = 0.$$

Hence, in view of (2.8) we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\phi_1(u)\,(-i\beta)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\left(\Gamma^Y\nabla^Y + \nabla^Y\Gamma^Y\right)\left(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))\psi_1(v)\right)|_{u=v,y=y'}\right\} = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

We note that Γ^Y maps $(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0})$ onto $(\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1})$ and vice versa. From the definition of ϕ_i^* and $\psi_{j,k}^*$ (cf. (3.5)), we have

$$\langle \Gamma^Y\phi_i,\ \phi_i^*\rangle_Y\ =\ \langle \Gamma^Y\psi_{j,k},\ \psi_{j,k}^*\rangle_Y = 0,$$

which shows that

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left\{ \phi_1(u)\,(-i\beta)\,\Gamma^Y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \nabla_{\partial u}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))\psi_1(v) \right) \right\}_{u=v,y=y'} = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.20) and (3.21) we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_1(u)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{cyl,even}}\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}_{\mathrm{even}}(t,(u,y),(v,y'))\psi_1(v) \right)|_{u=v,y=y'} = 0, \tag{3.22}$$

which together with (3.15) and Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{even}}(t,(u,y),(u,y)) \right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^{-\frac{m+1-j}{2}} \quad \text{with} \quad b_m = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

3.4. **The regularities of the zeta and eta functions at $s = 0$.** For any angle ϕ with $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < 0$ Theorem 2.21 shows that we can choose an angle θ arbitrarily close to ϕ so that θ is an Agmon angle for $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and 2θ is an Agmon angle for $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$. In this subsection we fix an angle θ satisfying this property. Moreover, Theorem 2.21 shows that all the generalized eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ except only finitely many ones have positive real parts. Let $\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_l$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots$ be generalized non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ counted with their multiplicities, where $\operatorname{Re}\tau_j \leq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_j > 0$. We define the zeta function $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s)$ by

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{l} \tau_j^{-s} \;+\; \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-s}. \tag{3.24}$$

Similarly, let $ir_1, \cdots, ir_k, \kappa_1, \cdots \kappa_{l_1}, \kappa_{l_1+1}, \cdots, \kappa_{l_1+l_2}$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots$ be generalized non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ counted with their multiplicities, where $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa_j \in \mathbb{C} - i\mathbb{R}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\kappa_j^2 \leq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\kappa_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq l_1$, $\operatorname{Re}\kappa_j < 0$ for $l_1 + 1 \leq j \leq l_1 + l_2$, and $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C} - i\mathbb{R}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\mu_j^2 > 0$. We define the eta function $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s)$ by

$$\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{l_1} \kappa_j^{-s} - \sum_{j=l_1+1}^{l_1+l_2} (-\kappa_j)^{-s} + \sum_{\operatorname{Re}\mu_j > 0} \mu_j^{-s} - \sum_{\operatorname{Re}\mu_j < 0} (-\mu_j)^{-s}. \tag{3.25}$$

The asymptotic expansions (3.19) and (3.23) imply that for some constants C_3, C_4 and $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}} - \sum_{j=1}^{l} e^{-\tau_j t}| \quad &\leq \quad C_3\, t^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\delta t}, \\ |\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}} \right) - i\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j e^{r_j^2 t} - \sum_{j=1}^{l_1+l_2} \kappa_j e^{-t\kappa_j^2}| \quad &\leq \quad C_4\, t^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} e^{-\delta t}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows (cf. Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 in [17]) that

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(s) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}} - \sum_{j=1}^{l} e^{-\tau_j t} \right) dt, \\ H_2(s) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}} \right) - i\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j e^{r_j^2 t} - \sum_{j=1}^{l_1+l_2} \kappa_j e^{-t\kappa_j^2} \right) dt \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

are holomorphic functions for $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{l} \tau_j^{-s} + H_1(s), \\ \eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{l_1} \kappa_j^{-s} - \sum_{j=l_1+1}^{l_1+l_2} (-\kappa_j)^{-s} + H_2(s). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Hence, (3.19) implies that $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s)$ has a regular value at $s = 0$ and $\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ is well-defined. The asymptotic expansion (3.23) implies that the eta function $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s)$ is regular at $s = 0$. Similarly, $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s)$ and $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s)$ have regular values at $s = 0$. We summarize the above arguments as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* (M, g^M) *be a compact oriented Riemannian manifold with boundary* Y *and* $E \to M$ *be a complex flat vector bundle. We assume that* g^M *is a product metric near* Y*. Then :*
(1) For each q $(0 \le q \le m)$, $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s)$ *and* $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s)$ *have regular values at* $s = 0$ *and hence the zeta-determinants* $\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ *and* $\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)$ *are well-defined.*
(2) $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s)$ *and* $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s)$ *have regular values at* $s = 0$*.*
(3) The spectrum of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1})$ *is same as that of* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0})$ *and hence* $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) = \eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s)$, $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s) = \eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s)$*.*
(4) The zeta-determinants $\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ *and* $\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)$ *are well-defined.*

For later use we define the eta invariant $\eta(\mathcal{B}_{even,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0})$ for $\mathcal{B}_{even,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ as follows.

Definition 3.3. *Let* $\mathfrak{L}^+_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ $(\mathfrak{L}^-_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0})$ *and* $\mathfrak{L}^0_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ *be the dimensions of the generalized eigenspaces corresponding to positive (negative) imaginary generalized eigenvalues and zero generalized eigenvalue of* $\mathcal{B}_{even,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$*, respectively. We define* $\eta(\mathcal{B}_{even,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0})$ *by*

$$\eta(\mathcal{B}_{even,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{even,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0) + \mathfrak{L}^+_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} - \mathfrak{L}^-_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} + \mathfrak{L}^0_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right).$$

We define $\eta(\mathcal{B}_{even,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1})$ *in the same way.*

3.5. **The value of zeta functions at $s = 0$.** Before finishing this section we compute the values of the zeta functions $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(s)$ at $s = 0$. Let $k_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $k_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ be the dimensions of the generalized 0-eigenspaces of $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}$, respectively. Then by (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0) + k_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} &= \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr} e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}\, dt \\ &= \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} \int_M \mathcal{Q}_q(t,x,x)\, dvol(x)dt \\ &= \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} \int_{Y\times[0,\infty)} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{cyl}}_q(t,(u,y),(u,y))\psi_1(u)\, dvol(y)dudt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that $a_m = 0$ in (3.15). Moreover, (3.16) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^1 t^{s-1}\left(\sum_{\lambda_{q,k}}\frac{\alpha_k^{q,-}e^{-t\lambda_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\int_0^\infty\psi_1(u)du+\sum_{\mu_{q,k}}\frac{\alpha_k^{q,+}e^{-t\mu_{q,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\int_0^\infty\psi_1(u)du\right)dt\\
= &\left(\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^1 t^{s-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}}\right)dt\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}\int_0^\infty\psi_1(u)du\right) \;=\; 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{Tr}e^{-t\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}}$ has an asymptotic expansion of the form $\sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j t^{-\frac{m-1}{2}+j}$. Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^1 t^{s-1}\left(\sum_{\lambda_{q-1,k}}\frac{\alpha_k^{q-1,-}e^{-t\lambda_{q-1,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\int_0^\infty\psi_1(u)du+\sum_{\mu_{q-1,k}}\frac{\alpha_k^{q-1,+}e^{-t\mu_{q-1,k}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\int_0^\infty\psi_1(u)du\right)dt=0.$$

These two equalities with (3.16) and (3.28) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0)+k_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} &= \lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\times\\
\int_0^1 t^{s-1}\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-t\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}}-e^{-t\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q}}+e^{-t\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q-1}}-e^{-t\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q-1}}\right)&\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{t}}\psi_1(u)du\right)dt. \quad (3.29)
\end{aligned}$$

We denote (cf. Lemma 2.8 and (2.18))

$$l_q:=\dim\ker\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q},\quad l_q^-:=\dim\left(\mathcal{K}\cap\Omega^q(Y,E|_Y)\right),\quad l_q^+:=\dim\left(\Gamma^Y\mathcal{K}\cap\Omega^q(Y,E|_Y)\right), \qquad (3.30)$$

so that $l_q=l_q^-+l_q^+$. Using the fact $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q}}(s)=\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q-1}}(s)$ (cf. (3.3), (3.7)) and (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0)+k_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\\
= &\frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}}(0)+l_q^+-\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q}}(0)-l_q^-+\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q-1}}(0)+l_{q-1}^+-\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q-1}}(0)-l_{q-1}^-\right)\\
= &\frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}}(0)-\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q-1}}(0)+l_q^+-l_q^-+l_{q-1}^+-l_{q-1}^-\right). \qquad (3.31)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(0)+k_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1} = \frac{1}{4}\left(-\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}}(0)+\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q-1}}(0)-l_q^++l_q^--l_{q-1}^++l_{q-1}^-\right). \qquad (3.32)$$

Summarizing the above argument, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0)+k_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{Y,q}}(0)-\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{Y,q-1}}(0)+l_q^+-l_q^-+l_{q-1}^+-l_{q-1}^-\right)\\
&= -\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(0)+k_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

4. The graded zeta-determinant for the odd signature operator on a compact manifold with boundary

4.1. **The graded determinant of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$.** In this section we are going to define the graded determinant of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ and the refined analytic torsion under the following assumptions. We begin with the following definitions.

Definition 4.1. *We define projections $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1 : \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \oplus \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \to \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y) \oplus \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, E|_Y)$ as follows. For $\phi \in \Omega^q(M, E)$*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0(\phi|_Y) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}(\phi|_Y) & \text{if} \quad q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{even} \\ \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}(\phi|_Y) & \text{if} \quad q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{odd,} \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1(\phi|_Y) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}(\phi|_Y) & \text{if} \quad q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{even} \\ \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}(\phi|_Y) & \text{if} \quad q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{odd.} \end{cases}$$

For each q we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(M, E) &= \{\phi \in \Omega^q(M, E) \mid \mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}\left(\left(\mathcal{B}^l \phi\right)|_Y\right) = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}, \\ \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(M, E) &= \{\phi \in \Omega^q(M, E) \mid \mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}\left(\left(\mathcal{B}^l \phi\right)|_Y\right) = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Then by Lemma 2.12 we have the following cochain complexes

$$(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M, E),\ \nabla) \quad : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(M, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{1,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(M, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{m,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(M, E) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}(M, E),\ \nabla) \quad : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(M, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{1,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(M, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{m,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(M, E) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.3}$$

For simplicity we are going to discuss the refined analytic torsion for the cochain complex (4.2). The exact same method works for the cochain complex (4.3). In this section we make the following two assumptions and the general case will be discussed in Section 5.

Assumption I : The cochain complex (4.2) is acyclic.

Assumption II : $\mathcal{B} : \Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M, E) \to \Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M, E)$ is invertible.

For each q we define

$$\Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,-}(M, E) = \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M, E) \cap \ker \nabla, \qquad \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,+}(M, E) = \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M, E) \cap \ker \Gamma\nabla\Gamma. \tag{4.4}$$

Then the Assumption I and II imply (cf. Subsection 6.9 in [5]) that

$$\Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M, E) = \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,-}(M, E) \oplus \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,+}(M, E). \tag{4.5}$$

We denote by

$$\Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M, E) \ = \ \bigoplus_{p=0}^{r-1} \Omega^{2p,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0},\pm}(M, E), \qquad \Omega^{\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M, E) \ = \ \bigoplus_{p=0}^{r-1} \Omega^{2p+1,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1},\pm}(M, E). \tag{4.6}$$

Then, $\mathcal{B}$ maps $\Omega^{\mathrm{even}\,/\,\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M, E)$ onto $\Omega^{\mathrm{even}\,/\,\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M, E)$ and induces the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M,E) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{B}"] \arrow[d, "\Gamma"'] & \Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M,E) \arrow[d, "\Gamma"] \\
\Omega^{\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1,\mp}(M,E) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{B}"] & \Omega^{\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1,\mp}(M,E)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.7}$$

This diagram shows that the spectra of $\mathcal{B}$ defined on $\Omega^{\mathrm{even}\,/\,\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0,\pm}(M,E)$ are the same as those of $\mathcal{B}$ defined on $\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}\,/\,\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1,\mp}(M,E)$, respectively. Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}^-$, $\mathcal{B}^+$ the restrictions of $\mathcal{B}$ to $\ker\nabla$ and $\ker\Gamma\nabla\Gamma$, respectively. We note that the spectra of $\mathcal{B}$ defined on $\Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0,\pm}(M,E)$ $(\Omega^{\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1,\pm}(M,E))$ are equal to those of $\mathcal{B}$ defined on $\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\pm}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\pm}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)\right)$ and the spectra of $\mathcal{B}^2$ defined on $\Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M,E)$ are equal to those of $\mathcal{B}^2$ defined on $\mathrm{Dom}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,\pm}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}\right)$. From this observation we abuse notations a little bit so that we write $\mathcal{B}$ defined on $\Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0,\pm}(M,E)$, $(\Omega^{\mathrm{odd},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1,\pm}(M,E))$ by $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ $(\mathcal{B}^{\pm}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1})$ and we write $\mathcal{B}^2$ defined on $\Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\pm}(M,E)$ by $\mathcal{B}^{2,\pm}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$.

Lemma 4.2. *(1) For each q $(0\leq q\leq m)$, the zeta-determinants* $\mathrm{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,\pm}_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)$ *and* $\mathrm{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,\pm}_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right)$ *are well-defined and*

$$\mathrm{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)=\mathrm{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{q+1,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right),\qquad \mathrm{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right)=\mathrm{Det}\left(\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{q-1,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

(2) Recall that $\dim M=2r-1$. *If r is odd,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= \eta_{\mathcal{B}^+_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) = \eta_{\mathcal{B}^+_{r-1,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s), & \eta_{\mathcal{B}^-_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= 0,\\
\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= \eta_{\mathcal{B}^-_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) = \eta_{\mathcal{B}^-_{r,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s), & \eta_{\mathcal{B}^+_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

If r is even,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= \eta_{\mathcal{B}^-_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) = \eta_{\mathcal{B}^-_{r,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s), & \eta_{\mathcal{B}^+_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= 0,\\
\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= \eta_{\mathcal{B}^+_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) = \eta_{\mathcal{B}^+_{r-1,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s), & \eta_{\mathcal{B}^-_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(s) &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. The first assertion comes from Lemma 2.12 and the assertion (1) in Lemma 3.2. For the second assertion, we consider only $\mathcal{B}^+_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$. Other cases are treated in the same way. We note that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}$ maps $\Omega^q_{+,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(M,E)\oplus\Omega^{m-q-1}_{+,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(M,E)$ into $\Omega^q_+(M,E)\oplus\Omega^{m-q-1}_+(M,E)$. Let $\phi\in\Omega^q_{+,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(M,E)$ and $\psi\in\Omega^{m-q-1}_{+,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(M,E)$. If $\phi+\psi$ is a generalized eigensection of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}$ with a generalized eigenvalue λ, then $\phi-\psi$ is a generalized eigensection of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}$ with a generalized eigenvalue $-\lambda$. This observation leads to the second assertion. □

Simple computation with Lemma 4.2 leads to the following result. Throughout this paper, for an elliptic differential operator D we mean by $\log Det_\theta D$ the particular value of the negative of the derivative of the zeta function at zero, *i.e.*

$$\log Det_\theta D := -\left(\frac{d}{ds}\zeta_{D,\theta}(s)\right)|_{s=0}.$$

Lemma 4.3.

$$\log \operatorname{Det}_{\theta} \mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} = \frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta} \mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} - i\pi\eta(\mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) + \frac{i\pi}{2} \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}},2\theta}(0).$$

If r is even with $\dim M = 2r-1$, then $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) = 0$. Similar statement holds for $\mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$.

We now define the graded zeta-determinant of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}$.

Definition 4.4. *Under the Assumption I and II, we define the graded determinant* $\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta} \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}$ *and* $\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta} \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}$ *by*

$$\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta} \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} = \frac{\operatorname{Det}_{\theta} \mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}}{\operatorname{Det}_{\theta}(-\mathcal{B}^{-}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}})}, \qquad \operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta} \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}} = \frac{\operatorname{Det}_{\theta} \mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}}{\operatorname{Det}_{\theta}(-\mathcal{B}^{-}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}})}.$$

We introduce the notations $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} & \text{for } q \text{ even} \\ \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}} & \text{for } q \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \qquad \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}} & \text{for } q \text{ even} \\ \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} & \text{for } q \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

Then simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) \;=\; \log \operatorname{Det}_{\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) - \log \operatorname{Det}_{\theta}(-\mathcal{B}^{-}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{2}\left(\log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta} \mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} - \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta} \mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} \right) \\ & + \frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}}(0) - \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,-}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}}(0) \right) - i\pi\left(\eta(\mathcal{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) + \eta(\mathcal{B}^{-}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) \right) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{2}\left(\log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta} \mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}} - \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta} \mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}} \right) \\ & + \frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}}(0) - \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,+}_{\mathrm{odd},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}}(0) \right) - i\pi\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q \cdot \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta} \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} - i\pi\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}}) + \frac{\pi i}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q \cdot \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}) \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q \cdot \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta} \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1} - i\pi\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+,\mathcal{L}_1}}) \\ & + \frac{\pi i}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q \cdot \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The equations (4.12) and (4.13) with Lemma 3.4 lead to the following results.

Corollary 4.5. *Putting $l^{\pm}_q = \dim \ker \mathcal{B}^{2,\pm}_{Y,q}$ (3.30), the following equalities hold under the Assumption I and II.*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1)\quad \log \operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta}\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} - i\pi\,\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}) \\
&+ \frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\sum_{q=0}^{m-1}\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}}(0) + \sum_{q=0}^{r-2}(r-1-q)(l_q^+ - l_q^-)\right). \\
(2)\quad \log \operatorname{Det}_{gr,\theta}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta}\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1} - i\pi\,\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}) \\
&+ \frac{\pi i}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{q=0}^{m-1}\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}}(0) - \sum_{q=0}^{r-2}(r-1-q)(l_q^+ - l_q^-)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

4.2. **The metric dependency of the graded determinant of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$.** In this subsection we discuss the metric dependency of the graded determinant $\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$. Let $\{g_v^M \mid -\delta < v < \delta\}$, $\delta > 0$, be a family of Riemannian metrics on M such that each g_v^M is a product metric and does not vary on $[0,\epsilon)\times Y$ for fixed $\epsilon > 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)$ $(\mathcal{B}_q^2(v))$ the (square of) odd signature operator corresponding to g_v^M. In this subsection we assume that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_q^2(v)$ have the same Agmon angles θ and 2θ $(-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < 0)$ which does not depend on v.

Lemma 4.6. *We assume that for each q, $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(v) : \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E) \to \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)$ is an invertible operator and has the same Agmon angle 2θ. Then :*

$$\frac{d}{dv}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot \log \operatorname{Det}_{2\theta}\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(v) \;=\; 0.$$

The same assertion holds for $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}(v)$.

Proof. The metric g_v^M varies only in the interior of the manifold, which shows that the boundary condition does not change and the derivative of g_v vanishes near the boundary. Since the argument of Lemma 9.9 in [5] is purely local, verbatim repetition gives the proof. □

We next discuss the variation of the eta invariant $\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v))$ for $-\delta < v < \delta$. We are going to follow the arguments in [24]. We choose $c > 0$ such that (i) any generalized eigenvalue κ of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v)$ with $|\kappa| > c$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re}(\kappa^2) > 0$ and (ii) $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v)$ does not have any generalized eigenvalues of absolute value c for $-\delta_0 < v < \delta_0$, $0 < \delta_0 \le \delta$. Let $K(v)$ be the subspace of $L^2\Omega^\bullet(M,E)$ spanned by the generalized eigensections with generalized eigenvalues κ with $|\kappa| \le c$. Theorem 2.21 shows that $K(v)$ is a finite dimensional vector space with a constant dimension with respect to v for $-\delta_0 < v < \delta_0$. We denote by $Q(v)$ the spectral projection onto $K(v)$ and denote $P(v) = I - Q(v)$. Then $Q(v)$ and $P(v)$ are smooth 1-parameter family of projections. We define

$$\widetilde{\eta}(s,v) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})}\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s-1}{2}}\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right)dt.$$

Then $\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v)}(s) - \widetilde{\eta}(s,v)$ is an entire function and hence, $\widetilde{\eta}(s,v)$ has a regular value at $s = 0$. Moreover, $\left(\eta_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v)}(s) - \widetilde{\eta}(s,v)\right)|_{s=0}$ does not depend on v modulo $2\mathbb{Z}$. Equivalently, on modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, $\left(\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v)) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\eta}(0,v)\right)$ does not depend on v. To compute the variation of $\widetilde{\eta}(s,v)$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right) \;=\; \operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{P}(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right)\\
&\quad+\;\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right) \;+\; \operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right), \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{P}(v)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)$ denote the derivatives of $P(v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)$ with respect to v. Since $\dot{P}(v) = -\dot{Q}(v)$, $P(v)Q(v) = 0$, $\dot{P}(v) = \dot{P}(v)P(v) + P(v)\dot{P}(v)$, $\dot{Q}(v) = \dot{Q}(v)Q(v) + Q(v)\dot{Q}(v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)$ commutes with $P(v)$ and $Q(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{P}(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right)\\
=\;& 2\;\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{P}(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}P(v)\right) \;=\; -2\;\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{Q}(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}P(v)\right)\\
=\;& -2\;\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{Q}(v)Q(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}P(v)\right) - 2\;\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q(v)\dot{Q}(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}P(v)\right)\\
=\;& 0. \qquad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

The following formula is well-known (cf. [24]).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial v}e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\\
&=\; -\int_0^t e^{-(t-r)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v) + \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v))e^{-r\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}dr. \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

The equations (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16) show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right) \;=\; (1+2t\frac{d}{dt})\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right)\;, \qquad (4.17)$$

which leads to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\widetilde{\eta}(s,v) \;=\; -\frac{s}{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})}\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s-1}{2}}\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right)dt. \qquad (4.18)$$

In the same way as in Section 3, there exists an asymptotic expansion for $t \to 0^+$

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(v)\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right) \;\sim\; \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\widetilde{c}_j t^{-\frac{m+1-j}{2}}, \qquad (4.19)$$

which shows that $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\widetilde{\eta}(s,v)$ has a regular value at $s=0$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\widetilde{\eta}(s,v)|_{s=0} \;=\; -\frac{2\widetilde{c}_m}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \qquad (4.20)$$

Setting

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathrm{even}}(v)e^{-t\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)^2}\right) \;\sim\; \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}c_j t^{-\frac{m+1-j}{2}}, \qquad (4.21)$$

we have $\widetilde{c}_j = c_j$ for $0 \le j \le m$. Hence we have the following result.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)$ be a smooth 1-parameter family of odd signature operators which does not vary near the boundary and have the same Agmon angle θ $(-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < 0)$. Then :*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)) = -\frac{c_m}{\sqrt{\pi}} \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z}),$$

where c_m is the coefficient of $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (4.21).

To cancel the metric dependence of the eta invariant we consider the following odd signature operator $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v) : \Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(M,\mathbb{C}) \to \Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(M,\mathbb{C})$ obtained by the trivial connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{trivial}}$ on the trivial line bundle $M \times \mathbb{C} \to M$ with respect to the metric g_v, $-\delta < v < \delta$. Since $\nabla^{\mathrm{trivial}}$ is a Hermitian connection, we choose the Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ (cf. see (2.19)) by (2.14). Since $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)$ is a self-adjoint operator, we define the eta invariant $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v))$ for $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)$ by

$$\eta(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)}(0) + \dim\ker \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)\right).$$

Lemma 2.11 shows that $\dim\ker \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)$ is a topological invariant and hence it does not depend on the metric g^M_v. Lemma 4.7 implies that

$$\mathrm{rank}(E)\cdot\frac{d}{dv}\eta(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)) \ = \ \frac{1}{2}\ \mathrm{rank}(E)\cdot\frac{d}{dv}\left(\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(v)}(0)\right) \ = \ -\frac{c^{\mathrm{trivial}}_m}{\sqrt{\pi}},$$

where c^{trivial}_m is the coefficient of $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (4.21) when the trivial representation $\rho_{\mathrm{trivial}} : \pi_1(M) \to GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ is given. We note that $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{even}$ vanishes near the boundary, which shows that the asymptotic expansion (4.21) is determined completely by interior data (cf. Section 3). Since the coefficients c_m and c^{trivial}_m are given locally, we have $c_m = c^{\mathrm{trivial}}_m$ and hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.8.

$$\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}) - \mathrm{rank}(E)\cdot\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0)$$

is invariant on modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ under the change of metrics in the interior of M.

Now we are ready to define the refined analytic torsion on a compact manifold when ∇ satisfies the Assumption I and II.

Definition 4.9. *Let (M, g^M) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary Y, where g^M is a product metric near the boundary. We denote by E the complex flat bundle associated to the representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and choose a flat connection ∇ acting on smooth sections of E. We also assume the Assumption A and B made in Subsection 2.5. For the boundary condition $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$, we assume that ∇ satisfies the Assumption I and II. We choose an angle θ with $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < 0$ such that θ is an Agmon angle for $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ and 2θ is an Agmon angle for each $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}$. Then we define the refined analytic torsion $T_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(g^M,\nabla)$ by*

$$T_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}(g^M,\nabla) \ = \ \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}\,\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\cdot e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(\mathrm{rank}\,E)\cdot\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0)}.$$

Similarly, when the cochain complex (4.3) is acyclic and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}$ is invertible, we define $T_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}(g^M,\nabla)$ by

$$T_{\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}(g^M,\nabla) \ = \ \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}\,\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}\cdot e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(\mathrm{rank}\,E)\cdot\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{trivial}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1}}(0)}.$$

Theorem 4.10. *We assume the same assumptions as in Definition 4.9. Then the refined analytic torsion* $T_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(g^M,\nabla)$ *and* $T_{\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}(g^M,\nabla)$ *are independent of the choice of Agmon angles and invariant under the change of metrics among metrics which satisfy the Assumption I and II and are fixed as a product metric on some collar neighborhood of* Y*.*

Proof. The independence of the choice of the Agmon angles follows from the argument in the Subsection 3.10 in [5]. Let $\{g^M_v \mid -\delta < v < \delta\}$ be a family of metrics satisfying the Assumption I and II and $g^M_v = g^M_0 (= g^M)$ on some collar neighborhood of Y. Corollary 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 4.8 imply that $T_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(g^M_v,\nabla)$ and $T_{\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}(g^M_v,\nabla)$ are invariant up to modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. Lemma 2.11 implies that the kernel of $\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}$ are topological invariants. Hence $\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(g^M_v)}(0)$ and $\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}(g^M_v)}(0)$ are continuous with respect to v and have no integer jump. Since $\operatorname{Det}_{\text{gr},\theta}\mathcal{B}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(v)$ and $\operatorname{Det}_{\text{gr},\theta}\mathcal{B}_{\text{even},\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}(v)$ are continuous with respect to v, the result follows. □

5. The refined analytic torsion as an element of a determinant line

In this section we are going to define the refined analytic torsion under the boundary condition $\mathcal{P}_{-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ without assuming the Assumption I or II in Subsection 4.1. For this purpose we begin with the cochain complex (4.2).

$$(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E),\ \nabla) \quad : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{-},\mathcal{L}_0}(M,E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{1,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}(M,E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega^{m,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_{+},\mathcal{L}_1}(M,E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We can define the refined analytic torsion for the complex (4.3) in the same way.

5.1. Decomposition of the complex and the graded determinant.

For $\lambda \geq 0$ we define a spectral projection $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]} : \Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E) \to \Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)$ by

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]} \;=\; \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{|z|=\lambda+\epsilon} \left(\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} - z\right)^{-1} dz,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough so that there are no generalized eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ whose absolute values are in the interval $(\lambda, \lambda+\epsilon]$. Then $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]}$ is the spectral projection onto the generalized eigenspace of $\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ corresponding to generalized eigenvalues in $\{\, z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \lambda \,\}$. We note that $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]}$ commutes with $\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]}$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Putting

$$\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E) \;:=\; \Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]}\big(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)\big), \qquad \Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E) \;:=\; \big(Id - \Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]}\big)\big(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)\big),$$

we have

$$\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E) \;=\; \Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E) \,\oplus\, \Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E). \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be either $[0,\lambda]$ or (λ,∞). Since ∇ commutes with $\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$, ∇ commutes with $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},[0,\lambda]}$ and hence $(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\mathcal{I}}(M,E),\nabla)$ is a subcomplex of $(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E),\nabla)$. Similarly, Γ acts on $(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\mathcal{I}}(M,E),\nabla)$. We denote by $H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)$ and $H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)$ the cohomologies of the complexes $\big(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E),\nabla\big)$ and

$\big(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E), \nabla_{[0,\lambda]}\big)$, respectively, where $\nabla_{[0,\lambda]} := \nabla|_{\Omega^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)}$. Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *For each $\lambda \geq 0$, the complex $(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E), \nabla)$ is acyclic and*

$$H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E) \cong H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E).$$

Setting

$$\Omega^{q,-,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E) := \ker\nabla \cap \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E), \qquad \Omega^{q,+,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E) := \ker(\Gamma\nabla\Gamma) \cap \Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E),$$

we have

$$\Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E) \,=\, \Omega^{q,-,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E) \oplus \Omega^{q,+,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E). \tag{5.2}$$

We note that $\mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ leaves $\ker(\Gamma\nabla\Gamma)$ and $\ker\nabla$ invariant. For $\mathcal{I} = [0,\lambda]$ or (λ,∞), we denote by $\mathcal{B}^{2,\mathcal{I}}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{B}^2$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}}$ to $\Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,\mathcal{I}}(M,E)$ and $\Omega^{\mathrm{even},\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0,\mathcal{I}}(M,E)$. We also denote by $\mathcal{B}^{\pm,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$ to $\Omega^{\mathrm{even},\pm,\infty}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E)$. Then we have the following direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} \,=\, \mathcal{B}^{-,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} \,\oplus\, \mathcal{B}^{+,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}. \tag{5.3}$$

Definition 5.2. *Let $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$ be an Agmon angle for $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}$. Then the graded determinant associated to $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ and the Agmon angle θ is defined as follows:*

$$\mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}) \,:=\, \frac{\mathrm{Det}_\theta(\mathcal{B}^{+,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0})}{\mathrm{Det}_\theta(-\mathcal{B}^{-,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0})}. \tag{5.4}$$

We define the graded determinant $\mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_+,\mathcal{L}_1})$ in the same way.

5.2. **The canonical element of the determinant line.**

In this subsection we briefly review the refined torsion for a finite complex. We refer to [6] for more details. Let $(C^\bullet, \partial, \Gamma)$ be a finite complex consisting of finite dimensional vector spaces as follows.

$$(C^\bullet,\ \partial,\ \Gamma)\ :\ 0 \longrightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{\partial} C^1 \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} C^{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C^m \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here $\Gamma : C^\bullet \to C^\bullet$ is an involution satisfying $\Gamma(C^q) = C^{m-q}$ for $0 \leq q \leq m$. We call Γ a chirality operator. We define the determinant line of $(C^\bullet, \partial, \Gamma)$ by

$$\mathrm{Det}(C^\bullet) \,=\, \bigotimes_{q=0}^{m} \mathrm{Det}(C^q)^{(-1)^q}, \quad m = 2r-1, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\mathrm{Det}(C^q)$ is the top exterior power of C^q and $\mathrm{Det}(C^\bullet)^{(-1)} := \mathrm{Hom}\big(\,\mathrm{Det}(C^\bullet), \mathbb{C}\big)$. We next define the canonical element c_Γ of $\mathrm{Det}(C^\bullet)$ as follows. We first extend the chirality operator $\Gamma : C^\bullet \to C^\bullet$ to determinant lines and choose arbitrary non-zero elements $c_q \in \mathrm{Det}(C^q)$. Then we define c_Γ by

$$\begin{aligned} c_\Gamma \; &:= \; (-1)^{\mathcal{R}(C^\bullet)} \cdot c_0 \otimes c_1^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{r-1}^{(-1)^{r-1}} \otimes \\ & \qquad (\Gamma c_{r-1})^{(-1)^r} \otimes (\Gamma c_{r-2})^{(-1)^{r-1}} \otimes \cdots\cdots \otimes (\Gamma c_1) \otimes (\Gamma c_0)^{(-1)} \in \mathrm{Det}(C^\bullet), \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $(-1)^{\mathcal{R}(C^\bullet)}$ is a normalization factor defined by (cf. (4-2) in [6])

$$\mathcal{R}(C^\bullet) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \dim C^q \cdot \left(\dim C^q + (-1)^{r+q}\right),$$

and $c^{-1} \in \mathrm{Det}(C^q)^{-1}$ is the unique dual element of $c \in \mathrm{Det}(C^q)$ such that $c^{-1}(c) = 1$. We denote by $H^\bullet(\partial)$ the cohomology of the complex $(C^\bullet, \partial)$. Then there is a standard isomorphism

$$\phi_{C^\bullet} \; : \mathrm{Det}(C^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Det}(H^\bullet(\partial)).$$

We refer to Subsection 2.4 in [6] for the definition of the isomorphism $\phi_{C^\bullet}$. The refined torsion of the pair $(C^\bullet, \Gamma)$ is the element ρ_Γ in $\mathrm{Det}(H^\bullet(\partial))$ defined by

$$\rho_\Gamma \; = \; \rho_{C^\bullet, \Gamma} \; := \; \phi_{C^\bullet}(c_\Gamma) \in \mathrm{Det}(H^\bullet(\partial)). \tag{5.7}$$

Denote by $\rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, [0,\lambda]}$ the refined torsion of the complex $\left(\Omega^\bullet_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, [0,\lambda]}(M, E), \nabla_{[0,\lambda]}\right)$. Then we have the following lemma (cf. Proposition 7.8 in [6] and Proposition 3.18 in [30]).

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$ be an Agmon angle for the operator $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$. Then the element*

$$\rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \nabla, g^M) \; := \; \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}) \cdot \rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, [0,\lambda]} \in \mathrm{Det}\left(H^\bullet_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}(M, E)\right) \tag{5.8}$$

is independent of the choice of $\lambda \geq 0$ and choice of Agmon angle $\theta \in (-\pi, 0)$ for the operator $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$.

Proof. For $0 \leq \lambda < \mu$ we have

$$\mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}) = \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\mu]}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}) \cdot \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\mu,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}).$$

Further, Proposition 5.10 in [6] shows that

$$\rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, [0,\mu]} = \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\mu]}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}) \cdot \rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, [0,\lambda]}.$$

These two equalities show that (5.8) is independent of the choice of $\lambda \geq 0$. If θ, $\theta' \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$ are both Agmon angles for $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$, Theorem 2.21 shows that there are only finitely many eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ in the solid angle between θ and θ' and hence the independence of the Agmon angles follows from the argument in the Subsection 3.10 in [5]. □

Remark : Since different Hermitian metrics give rise to equivalent L^2-norms over compact manifolds, the domain of $\mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ is independent of the choice of the Hermitian metric h^E. Moreover, the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ does not use the Hermitian structure, which shows that $\rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \nabla, g^M)$ is independent of the choice of the Hermitian metric h^E (cf. p.2004 in [30]).

We define the eta invariants $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda]}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0})$, $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0})$ of $\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda]}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$, $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ in the similar way as in Definition 3.3. Then

$$\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}) \; = \; \eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda]}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}) \; + \; \eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even}, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}).$$

We next define $\xi_{\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(\nabla, g^M)$ and $L_{q,\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(\nabla, g^M) &:= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0}\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,(\lambda,\infty)}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}}(s), \\ L_{q,\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} &:= \dim \Omega^q_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(0,\lambda]}(M,E), \end{aligned}$$

and have the following lemma, which is analogous to Corollary 4.5.

Lemma 5.4. *We put* $k_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} = \dim\ker \mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ *and* $l_q^{\pm} = \dim\ker \mathcal{B}^{2,\pm}_{Y,q}$. *Then:*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \nabla, g^M) = {} & \rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}\cdot e^{\xi_{\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(\nabla,g^M)}\cdot e^{-i\pi\eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0})}\cdot \\ & e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\left(-\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot L_{q,\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} - \sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot k_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{q=0}^{m-1}\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{Y,q}}(0) + \sum_{q=0}^{r-2}(r-1-q)(l_q^+ - l_q^-)\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It's enough to compute $\log \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0})$. The computation similar to (4.12) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathrm{Det}_{\mathrm{gr},\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}) &= \log \mathrm{Det}_{\theta}(\mathcal{B}^{+,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}) - \log \mathrm{Det}_{\theta}(-\mathcal{B}^{-,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot \log \mathrm{Det}_{2\theta}\,\mathcal{B}^{2,(\lambda,\infty)}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} + \frac{\pi i}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,(\lambda,\infty)}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}}(0) \\ &\quad -\frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}}(0) + \mathfrak{L}^{+,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0} - \mathfrak{L}^{-,(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathcal{P}_-,\mathcal{L}_0}\right) \\ &= \xi_{\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(\nabla, g^M) - i\pi\eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}) + \frac{\pi i}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^{q+1}\cdot q\cdot \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,(\lambda,\infty)}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{B}^{2,(\lambda,\infty)}_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}}(0) = \zeta_{\mathcal{B}^2_{q,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}}(0) - L_{q,\lambda,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0},$$

and Lemma 3.4, the result follows. □

5.3. **Metric dependency of the refined analytic torsion.** In this subsection we discuss the metric dependency of $\rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \nabla, g^M)$. We suppose that $\{g^M_t \mid -\delta < t < \delta\}$, $\delta > 0$ is a family of Riemannian metrics on M such that each g^M_t is a product metric and does not vary on $[0,\epsilon)\times Y$ for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$. We denote by Γ_t the chirality operator corresponding to the Riemannian metric g^M_t and by $\mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(t) = \mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(\nabla, g^M_t)$ the odd signature operator corresponding to the Riemannian metric g^M_t. We define $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{even},\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(t)$, $\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda]}_{\mathrm{even},\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(t)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\mathrm{even},\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(t)$ in the similar ways. Then we get the associated refined torsion $\rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}},[0,\lambda]}(t)$ of the complex $(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda],g^M_t}(M,E), \nabla_{[0,\lambda]})$ (cf. (5.7)) and we denote by $\rho(t) = \rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \nabla, g^M_t)$ the canonical element defined in (5.8). We have the following lemma, whose proof is a verbatim repetition of Lemma 9.2 in [6] (cf. Proposition 4.5 in [30]).

Lemma 5.5. *We choose* λ *and* $\widetilde{\delta}_0$ $(\, 0 < \widetilde{\delta}_0 \leq \delta \,)$ *so that* $\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(t)$ *does not have any generalized eigenvalues whose absolute values are equal to* λ *for* $-\widetilde{\delta}_0 < t < \widetilde{\delta}_0$*. Then the product*

$$e^{\xi_{\lambda, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(\nabla,\, g^M_t)} \cdot \rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, [0,\lambda]}(t) \in \operatorname{Det}\left(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M, E) \right)$$

is independent of $t \in (-\widetilde{\delta}_0, \widetilde{\delta}_0)$*.*

We recall that ∇^{trivial} is the trivial connection on the trivial line bundle $M \times \mathbb{C}$ and denote by $\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)$ the odd signature operator corresponding to the trivial connection ∇^{trivial} and Riemannian metric g^M_t. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 5.6. *For large enough* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$*,*

$$\eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}(E)\, \eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)}(0) \tag{5.9}$$

is independent of $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$*.*

Proof. From the definition of $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda]}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t))$ and $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda], \text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t))$ (cf. Definition 3.3), it is straightforward that $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda]}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t))$ and $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda], \text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t))$ are, on modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, independent of t. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(\mathcal{B}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)) &= \eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda]}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)) + \eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)), \\
\eta(\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)) &= \eta(\mathcal{B}^{[0,\lambda], \text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)) + \eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty), \text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)),
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.8 implies that (5.9) is independent of $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ up to modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. Theorem 2.21 shows that $\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}$ has no zero eigenvalue nor pure imaginary eigenvalues for large enough $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and Lemma 2.11 shows that the kernel of $\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)$ is a topological invariant and hence independent of t. These facts show that both $\eta(\mathcal{B}^{(\lambda,\infty)}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t))$ and $\eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(t)}(0)$ are continuous with respect to t and have no integer jump, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Summarizing the above argument, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *Let* (M, g^M) *be an odd dimensional compact oriented Riemannian manifold with boundary* Y*, where* g^M *is a product metric near the boundary. Let* (E, ∇, h^E) *be a flat complex vector bundle over* M *with a flat connection* ∇ *and assume the Assumption A and B made in Subsection 2.5. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{an, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(g^M, \nabla) &:= \rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \nabla, g^M) \cdot e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\operatorname{rank} E)\, \eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}(g^M)}(0)}, \\
\rho_{an, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}(g^M, \nabla) &:= \rho(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1, \nabla, g^M) \cdot e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\operatorname{rank} E)\, \eta_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{trivial}}_{\text{even}, \mathcal{P}_+, \mathcal{L}_1}(g^M)}(0)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

are independent of the choice of the Agmon angles and invariant under the change of metrics in the interior of M*.*

Finally, we define the refined analytic torsion as an element of a determinant line as follows.

Definition 5.8. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 5.7, we define the refined analytic torsions* $\rho_{an, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0} = \rho_{an, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(g^M, \nabla)$ *and* $\rho_{an, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1} = \rho_{an, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}(g^M, \nabla)$ *with respect to the metric* g^M *and the boundary conditions* $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$*,* $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ *by the elements defined in* (5.10)*.*

5.4. **Ray-Singer norm on the determinant line of cohomologies in case of Hermitian connections.** When ∇ is a Hermitian connection, Lemma 2.11 shows that the cohomologies $H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)$ obtained from the complex (4.2) is given as follows.

$$H^{q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E) \;=\; \begin{cases} H^q(M,Y;E) & \text{if} \quad q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{even} \\ H^q(M;E) & \text{if} \quad q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{odd.} \end{cases}$$

Unfortunately, we don't know so far whether $H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)$ is a topological invariant or not for a general flat connection ∇. Hence, in this subsection we discuss briefly the Ray-Singer norm on the determinant line of the cohomologies only when ∇ is a Hermitian connection.

We remind that $\mathcal{B}^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}$ is a non-negative self-adjoint operator when ∇ is a Hermitian connection and hence we take $-\pi$ as an Agmon angle. For $\lambda \geq 0$ the cohomologies of $\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)$ (Lemma 5.1). We define the determinant lines $\mathrm{Det}\left(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)\right)$ and $\mathrm{Det}\left(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)\right)$ as in (5.5). Then $\mathrm{Det}\left(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)\right)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Det}\left(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)\right)$ and we denote this natural isomorphism by ϕ_λ, *i.e.*

$$\phi_\lambda : \mathrm{Det}\left(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)\right) \to \mathrm{Det}\left(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)\right). \tag{5.11}$$

The scalar product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$ on $\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)$ defined by g^E and h^E induces a scalar product on $\mathrm{Det}\left(\Omega^{\bullet,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]}(M,E)\right)$. Let $\parallel \cdot \parallel_\lambda$ denote the metric on $\mathrm{Det}\left(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)\right)$ such that the isomorphism (5.11) is an isometry. We define the Ray-Singer norm on $\mathrm{Det}\left(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E)\right)$ by

$$\parallel \cdot \parallel^{RS}_{\mathrm{Det}(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E))} \;:=\; \parallel \cdot \parallel_\lambda \;\cdot\; T^{RS}_{(\lambda,\infty)}(\nabla), \tag{5.12}$$

where $T^{RS}_{(\lambda,\infty)}(\nabla)$ is defined by

$$T^{RS}_{(\lambda,\infty)}(\nabla) \;:=\; exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{m}(-1)^q q \cdot \log \mathrm{Det}_{-\pi}\left(\mathcal{B}^2_q|_{(\Omega^{q,\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,(\lambda,\infty)}(M,E))}\right)\right\}. \tag{5.13}$$

The definition (5.12) does not depend on the choice of λ. Since Γ is a unitary, self-adjoint operator with respect to the scalar product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$, we have $\parallel \rho_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,[0,\lambda]} \parallel_\lambda = 1$ and hence

$$\parallel \rho_{an,\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(\nabla) \parallel^{RS}_{\mathrm{Det}(H^{\bullet}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}(M,E))} \;= 1.$$

REFERENCES

[1] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi and I. M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry, I*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **77** (1975), 43-69.

[2] N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[3] B. Booβ-Bavnbek and K. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic Boundary Value Problems for Dirac Operators*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[4] T. Branson and P. Gilkey, *Residues of the eta function for an operator of Dirac type*, J. Funct. Anal. **108** (1992), 47-87.

[5] M. Braverman and T. Kappeler, *Refined analytic torsion*, J. Diff. Geom. **78** (2008), no. **2**, 193-267.

[6] M. Braverman and T. Kappeler, *Refined Analytic Torsion as an Element of the Determinant Line*, Geom. Topol. **11** (2007), 139-213.

[7] G. E. Bredon, *Topology and Geometry*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1993.

[8] J. Brüning and X. Ma *An anomaly formula for Ray-Singer metric on manifolds with boundary*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), 767-837.

[9] D. Burghelea and S. Haller *Complex-valued Ray-Singer torsion*, J. Funct. Anal. **248** (2007), 27-78.

[10] D. Burghelea and S. Haller *Complex-valued Ray-Singer torsion II*, arXiv:math/0610875

[11] S. E. Cappell and E. Y. Miller *Complex valued analytic torsion for flat bundles and for holomorphic bundles with* $(1, 1)$ *connections*, Commun Pure Appl. Math. **63(2)** (2010) 133-202.

[12] J. Cheeger, *Analytic torsion and the heat equation*, Ann. Math. **109** (1979), 259-300.

[13] R. G. Douglas and K. P. Wojciechowski *Adiabatic limits of the* η*-invariants the odd-dimensional Atiyah-Patodi-Singer problem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), 139-168.

[14] M. Farber and V. Turaev, *Absolute torsion*, Tel Aviv Topology Conference: Rothenberg Festschrift (1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 231, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 73–85.

[15] M. Farber and V. Turaev, *Poincaré-Reidemeister metric, Euler structures, and torsion*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **520** (2000), 195–225.

[16] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, 2nd Edition, CRC Press, Inc., 1994.

[17] P. B. Gilkey and L. Smith, *The eta invariant for a class of elliptic boundary value problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36** (1983), 85-131.

[18] G. Grubb, *Trace expansions for pseudodifferential boundary value problems for Dirac-type operators and more general systems*, Ark. Mat. **37** (1999), 45-86.

[19] L. Guillopé, *Théorie spectrale de quelques variétés à bouts*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **22** (1989), 137 - 160.

[20] R-T. Huang *Cappell-Miller analytic torsion for manifolds with boundary*, To appear in Proc. of AMS.

[21] P. Kirk, M. Lesch, *The* η*-invariant, Maslov index and spectral flow for Dirac-type operators on manifolds with boundary*, Forum Math., **16** (2004), no. **4**, 553-629.

[22] S. Klimek, K. P. Wojciechowski *Adiabatic cobordism theorems for analytic torsion and* η*-invariants*, J. Funct. Anal. **136** (1996), 269-293.

[23] W. Müller, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion for Riemannian manifolds* Adv. in Math. **28** (1978), 233-305.

[24] W. Müller, *Eta invariants and manifolds with boundary* J. Diff. Geom. **40** (1994), 311-377.

[25] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds* Adv. in Math. **7** (1971), 145-210.

[26] R. Seeley, *Topics in Pseudo-Differential Operators*, in : CIME Conference on Pseudo-Differential Operators (Stresa 1968), Ed. Cremonese, Rome, 1969, 167-305.

[27] G. Su, *Burghelea-Haller analytic torsion for manifolds with boundary*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 4295-4306.

[28] V. G. Turaev, *Reidemeister torsion in knot theory*, Russian Math. Survey **41** (1986), 119-182.

[29] V. G. Turaev, *Euler structures, nonsingular vector fields, and Reidemeister-type torsions*, Math. USSR Izvestia **34** (1990), 627-662.

[30] B. Vertman, *Refined analytic torsion on manifolds with boundary*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), 1989-2027.

[31] B. Vertman, *Gluing formula for refined analytic torsion*, arXiv:0808.0451

[32] K. P. Wojciechowski, *The* ζ*-determinant and the additivity of the* η*-invariant on the smooth, self-adjoint Grassmannian* Comm. Math. Phys. **201** (1999), no. **2**, 423-444.

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for advanced Study, 207-43, Cheongnyangni 2-dong, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Korea, *Current address* : Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 6th floor, Astronomy-Mathematics Building, No. 1, Section 4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei, 106-17,Taiwan

E-mail address: `rthuang@math.sinica.edu.tw`

Department of Mathematics, Inha University, Incheon, 402-751, Korea

E-mail address: `yoonweon@inha.ac.kr`